

December 2012

Assessment Equity in New York: Results from the 2012 Market Value Survey

Thomas H. Mattox
Commissioner

Robert D. Plattner
Deputy Commissioner

Contents

Introduction	1
2012 Market Value Survey Data and Estimation Methodology	2
Statistical Measurement of Assessment Uniformity	3
Coefficient of Dispersion Standards	6
Coefficient of Dispersion Results	7
Price-Related Differential Results	12
Recent Reassessment Activity Subsequent to the 2012 Market Value Survey	13
Conclusions and Recommendations	14
Standard of Assessment	15
Reassessment Cycle	15
Direct Equalization	15
Withholding of State Payments	15
Ordering a Reassessment	16

Tables	Table 1: Ratio Study Uniformity Standards Indicating Acceptable General Quality	6
	Table 2: Department Guidelines for Assessment Uniformity	7
	Table 3: Summary of COD Values for Sampled Assessing Units, by Degree of Urbanization (2012 Market Value Survey)	8
	Table 4: Assessment Uniformity, Sampled and Non-Sampled Assessing Units	8
	Table 5: Level of Assessment, as Measured by 2012 State Equalization Rate	10
	Table 6: Value-Related Bias in Assessing, Sampled Assessing Units, 2012 Market Value Survey	12
Figures	Figure 1: New York State Assessing Units meeting State Guidelines for All-Property Assessment Uniformity	9
	Figure 2: Percent of County, City and Town Assessing Jurisdictions with Assessment Uniformity, 1980-2012	11
	Figure 3: New York State Assessing Units Participating in Cyclical Reassessment	14
Appendices	Appendix 1: Local Reassessment Project Review and Analysis	A-1
	Appendix 2: Measuring Assessment Uniformity from Market Survey Data: Weighted Coefficient of Dispersion	B-1
	Appendix 3: 2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential Report	C-1

Summary of Report

Section 1200 of the Real Property Tax Law requires that the Department of Taxation and Finance monitor the equity of assessments in New York in the context of its periodic market value surveys, and report its findings to the Governor, the President Pro-Tem of the Senate, and the Speaker of the Assembly. The current report presents such an analysis, based on results from the 2012 survey.

Findings

Approximately 74 percent of the State's assessing units are indicated as having equitable assessment rolls. The number achieving overall equity had been rising steadily until the middle of the past decade (see Figure 1 in the report) and stands in dramatic contrast to the situation of about three decades ago when only about 10 percent had equitable assessments. However, in the latter half of past decade, equity levels retreated somewhat. It is believed that this reflects the influences of turbulent real estate markets in many areas, and the difficulty some assessors had in ensuring that their rolls reflect current market conditions. In the last two years, a modest upward trend in equity has reappeared, however.

A related positive trend has been the number of assessing units that have been updating their rolls on a frequent basis. As indicated in Figure 3 of the report, 427 units (43 percent) have submitted cyclical reassessment plans committing to a regular cycle of reappraisal. Although this is not a compulsory state requirement in New York, the State's reassessment aid program has been recently modified to encourage the use of a four-year updating cycle.

Despite the major progress that has occurred over the past few decades, much remains to be done. A significant number of assessing units, including many in rural regions of the State and some in its most populous areas such as the Lower Hudson Valley and Long Island, have not reassessed in many decades. Some small assessing units in rural areas of the State have difficulty finding the resources to perform the assessing function to today's standards, and need to explore consolidation and/or

coordination of effort in order to carry out their assessment duties equitably and efficiently.

In recent years, a “plateau” seems to have been reached in terms of local participation in existing state aid incentive programs for quality assessing. Thus, new methods of encouraging more communities to reassess have been sought, including the recent redesign of the reassessment aid program. This report also outlines a series of policy measures that are used in other states for the purpose of ensuring that local governments maintain current, equitable assessments, and recommends that they be considered in New York.

Methodology

The survey found that 552 assessing units (approximately 56 percent) implemented recent reassessment programs that could be used directly in determining 2012 municipal full value. For these assessing units, the survey process consisted of a review by Department staff of the reassessment roll and the procedures and data used to conduct the reassessment program. In cases where the assessed values appearing on the roll represented a recent year other than 2012, they were adjusted to the statewide value standard of July 1, 2011 that was used in the survey. This review and trending process for assessing units with recent reassessments was first implemented for the 1996 market value survey and, for a substantial portion of the State, it has eliminated the costly sampling of rolls and appraisal of parcels that had been undertaken in prior surveys.

For the remaining approximately 44 percent of assessing units that did not have recent reassessments, samples of sales and appraisals, and computer-assisted mass appraisal (CAMA) models, were used to determine the local average level of assessment, and variation around this average. Where reliable residential sales data reflecting the appropriate time frame were available, such sales were used to replace or augment individual parcel appraisals. CAMA techniques were employed where insufficient residential sales were available but local property inventories were satisfactory for statistical modeling purposes. For the non-residential property classes -- generally characterized by greater heterogeneity and complexity -- individual sampled parcels were appraised if the property class represented a significant component of the total value on the roll.

Uniformity of assessments on the sampled rolls was measured primarily through a statistic known as the Coefficient of

Dispersion (COD). This statistic measures the extent of assessment “error” observed among the assessment ratios (assessed value divided by market value) of the sample parcels. Ideally, all ratios within an assessing unit (or, for New York City and Nassau County, within a property class) should be the same, indicating perfectly uniform assessments. However, unavoidable imperfections in valuation and estimation error require that some minimum level of variation be deemed acceptable. The amount of variation that is acceptable is a function of the type of property and the amount of market activity in a given community, with the most rural areas generally capable of attaining assessments that are less uniform than those attainable in urbanized areas, due to greater variability among properties and sparse market data for some or all property types.

Among the sampled assessing units, approximately 40 percent had COD estimates for the entire assessment roll that satisfied State guidelines, which in turn are based on standards established by the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO). In terms of residential property, where allowable COD levels are more stringent, 21 percent met the guidelines.



Introduction

Distributional equity in real property taxation requires that properties of the same value be treated alike in terms of their assessments. New York State law (Section 305 of the Real Property Tax Law) stipulates that assessing jurisdictions must assess properties at a uniform percentage of value, and State courts have held that “value” means “market value.” New York’s two “special assessing units,” New York City and Nassau County, must assess at a uniform percentage of market value within each of four specified property classes. This means that all parcels on the assessment roll (or, for special assessing units, within each class) should have the same, or at least very similar, assessment ratios (assessed value divided by market value). Each assessing unit has the right to choose the percentage of value to be used as an assessment standard.

The New York State Department of Taxation and Finance has statutory responsibility for regularly monitoring the equity of assessments. An analysis of assessment uniformity is carried out each time the Department’s staff completes a market value survey.¹ The present report documents findings from the 2012 market value survey.

Included herein is information for the State’s 983 non-village assessing units, which consist of 2 counties, 61 cities and 920 towns.

¹This analysis is required by Section 1200 of the Real Property Tax Law.

For the 2012 market value survey, the level of assessment uniformity for each assessing unit was estimated using one of four possible approaches, as outlined below:

1. Sales Ratio Study – This approach involves a systematic comparison of assessed values to sales prices for properties that have sold. It is used if there is a sufficient sample of sales to provide a reliable estimate of the ratio of assessed value to sales price. The sales prices may be time-adjusted to a common valuation date in order to establish value and assessment ratio as of that date. It is used for residential property only, and supplemented by appraisals for other property types.
2. Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal Ratio Study (CAMA) – CAMA involves a systematic comparison of assessed values to market values generated by a statistical model. The model uses a multiple regression equation to predict the market value of residential parcels based on sales data and the physical inventory characteristics of the parcels. The CAMA approach is particularly useful in municipalities with few sales but good parcel inventory data. It is used for residential property only, and is supplemented by appraisals for other property types.
3. Stratified Sample of Parcels, Using Appraisals and/or Sales – A stratified sample was used where suitable data were available and the sales ratio and/or CAMA approaches were determined to be inappropriate. Direct use of time-adjusted sales in ratio determination was limited to residential property, and sample parcels were weighted based on the incidence of each type on the assessment roll.
4. Review and Verification of a Recent Reassessment -- The review and verification process was used in assessing units having conducted recent reassessments. It involves an audit-type analysis of the reassessment process and its results to verify that assessments adequately reflect current market conditions. As part of the review, an extensive audit document is completed (see example in Appendix A) in which the data and analytical processes used to determine the assessments are examined in relation to acceptable professional standards of practice.

Approaches 1 through 3 result in independent computations of the local level of assessment and the assessing units in question are referred to in this report as the “sampled assessing units.” Classes of property comprising a very minor portion of the value on the assessment roll may not have been independently valued. The full value of these classes was estimated by applying the overall ratio of the sampled classes. In some cases, appraisals from a prior, recent market value survey may have been reused in the 2012 market value survey.

As mentioned above, assessing units having completed recent reassessments were not sampled, primarily to focus available resources on those with older assessment rolls. These units were handled through the fourth approach, “Review and Verification of a Recent Reassessment,” and are referred to as “non-sampled assessing units.” A recent reassessment means that the assessing unit has an equitable assessment roll, unless significant errors have been made in the reassessment process, in which case the roll would not have been accepted for review and verification in the survey. Therefore, in the statistical tabulations presented in this report concerning assessment equity, assessing units wherein the review of reassessment procedure was used and the reassessment was deemed acceptable have been counted as meeting equity standards.

It should be understood, however, that the designation of assessing units as having adequate equity does not necessarily imply that all properties in them are accurately assessed. Factors such as uniqueness of certain properties, rapidly changing neighborhood conditions and/or dynamic market segments in certain time periods, and other such factors, will necessarily mean that there will be inevitable imperfections on the roll, as assessment is not an exact science.

Statistical Measurement of Assessment Uniformity

The primary means of measuring assessment uniformity is a statistic known as the Coefficient of Dispersion (COD). The COD measures the extent to which the assessment ratios from a given roll exhibit dispersion around a midpoint. It is generally accepted that the median assessment ratio best serves as the midpoint or central tendency measure from which the average level of dispersion should be calculated.

Assessing units with good assessing practices have low CODs, showing little deviation of individual assessment ratios from the median ratio. For example, if the median ratio for the parcels

sampled in a given assessing unit is 50 percent, a house with a market value of \$100,000 should be assessed at \$50,000, a commercial property valued at \$400,000 should be assessed at \$200,000, and a \$2,000,000 industrial parcel should be assessed at \$1,000,000. If all other sampled parcels were similarly assessed at 50 percent of market value, the median ratio would also be 50 percent and the average deviation, as measured by the COD, would be zero. Conversely, an assessing unit with little assessment uniformity would have widely varying assessment ratios among the sampled parcels, resulting in high dispersion around the median ratio and, therefore, a high COD. Widely varying ratios result in unequal tax bills for properties of equal value.

Examples 1 and 2 show two hypothetical assessing units, each attempting to assess properties at 80 percent of market value. In Example 1, the assessed values range from 52 percent to 120 percent of market value, indicating a relatively high level of dispersion and poor assessment practices. Assessments such as these would result in an inequitable distribution of local taxes between property owners.

Example 1. Coefficient of Dispersion of 30 Percent: Low Uniformity

Parcel #	Assessed Value	Market Value	AV/MV Ratio	Absolute Deviation from Median
1.	\$120,000	\$100,000	1.20	.40
2.	110,000	100,000	1.10	.30
Median 3.	80,000	100,000	.80	.00
4.	58,000	100,000	.58	.22
5.	52,000	100,000	.52	.28
Total Deviation				1.20
$\frac{\text{Total Deviation}}{\text{No. Parcels}} = \frac{1.20}{5} = .24 \text{ average deviation from median}$				
$\text{COD} = \frac{\text{Avg. Deviation}}{\text{Median Ratio}} = \frac{.24}{.80} = 30 \text{ percent}$				

Example 2 shows a hypothetical case where assessments are more uniform. The assessment ratios range from 64 percent to 92 percent, and are closer to the target ratio of 80 percent, showing substantially less dispersion than is evident in Example 1. While some dispersion is indeed present, it is significantly lower than in the previous example and within an acceptable range when factors such as measurement error and valuation uncertainty are taken into account.

Example 2. Coefficient of Dispersion of 10 Percent: Acceptable Uniformity

Parcel #	Assessed Value	Market Value	AV/MV Ratio	Absolute Deviation from Median
1.	\$92,000	\$100,000	.92	.12
2.	88,000	100,000	.88	.08
Median 3.	80,000	100,000	.80	.00
4.	76,000	100,000	.76	.04
5.	64,000	100,000	.64	.16
Total Deviation				.40
$\frac{\text{Total Deviation}}{\text{No. Parcels}} = \frac{.40}{5} = .08 \text{ average deviation from median}$				
$\text{COD} = \frac{\text{Avg. Deviation}}{\text{Median Ratio}} = \frac{.08}{.80} = 10 \text{ percent}$				

A second statistical measure of assessment uniformity, called the Price-Related Differential (PRD)² is also used in the current report for assessing units with no recent reassessment activity. The PRD is used to determine if there is a bias on an assessment roll toward systematic over-assessment of either high- or low-value properties in comparison to the average property. In computing the PRD, the simple mean of the assessment ratios is divided by the value-weighted mean ratio. If no bias exists, the two ratios should be close to each other, and the PRD should be near 1.00. This is referred to as “neutral” assessment practice, i.e., no price-related bias.

²This statistic is sometimes referred to as the Index of Regressivity.

However, if the simple mean ratio is considerably lower than the value-weighted mean, a low PRD results (less than 1.00). In this case, there is said to be a bias toward “progressivity,” that is, higher-value properties are being over-assessed and lower-value properties are being under-assessed. In the opposite situation, where the PRD is high (greater than 1.00), “regressive” assessing is evident. In other words, lower-value properties are being relatively over-assessed and higher-value properties are being relatively under-assessed. The International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) has established a range for the PRD which denotes uniform practices, i.e., neutral assessing: the PRD must fall in the range 0.98 to 1.03 to be considered acceptable.

Coefficient of Dispersion Standards

Upon the completion of a market value survey, two coefficients of dispersion are calculated for the assessing units with no recent reassessment activity, one for residential property alone and one for all property classes combined. To evaluate the CODs calculated in this process, they must be compared to accepted guidelines for assessment uniformity. The International Association of Assessing Officers, in its publication entitled Standard on Ratio Studies (January 2010), has recognized that the ability of an assessing unit to attain uniformity is affected by several factors, such as the types of property it contains, community size, population density, the degree of diversity of properties, market activity levels, and the relative ages of structures. The IAAO recommends a range of acceptable COD values, based on these categories and neighborhood characteristics, as well as the increased difficulty experienced in assessing classes of property other than residential. IAAO standards are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Ratio Study Uniformity Standards Indicating Acceptable General Quality, IAAO*

Type of property - General	Type of property - Specific	COD Range**
Single-family residential (including residential	Newer or more homogenous areas	5.0 to 10.0
Single-family residential	Older or more heterogeneous areas	5.0 to 15.0
Other residential	Rural, seasonal, recreational, manufactured housing, 2-4 unit	5.0 to 20.0
Income-producing properties	Larger areas represented by large	5.0 to 15.0
Income-producing properties	Smaller areas represented by	5.0 to 20.0
Vacant land		5.0 to 25.0
Other real and personal property		Varies with local conditions
*These types of property are provided for general guidance only and may not represent jurisdictional requirements.		
** CODs lower than 5.0 may indicate sales chasing or non-representative samples.		

In its work with various types of assessing units, the Department's staff has also found that the more rural areas, where there are relatively few sales and properties are more heterogeneous, pose greater difficulty in establishing accurate assessments and market values. Thus, in measuring assessment uniformity, staff has taken the view that somewhat higher COD levels would be acceptable in areas with rural characteristics as contrasted with urban and suburban areas. The guidelines established are summarized in Table 2 and were applied in determining the number of assessing units achieving equity for purposes of this report.

Table 2. Department Guidelines for Assessment Uniformity

Population Density (persons/sq. mile)	Coefficient of Dispersion, All Property
100 or less	≤ 20
101 to 400	≤ 17
401 or more	≤ 15

Coefficient of Dispersion Results

For the 2012 market survey, the median residential COD among the sampled assessing units was 17.73, and the median for all property classes combined was 19.85.³ In other words, half the sampled assessing units achieved greater uniformity than indicated by these median values, and half achieved less. The range in the all-property COD was 6.05 to 124.90. For the residential COD, the range among assessing units was 5.13 to 60.32.

The COD results presented herein are point estimates. If the estimation were replicated using an alternative data set, it is likely that somewhat different figures would be obtained due to sampling error. Gloudemans, an expert in the field, has proposed a confidence interval approach to recognize the problem of sampling error. His approach results in a range within which the COD estimate will fall with a known probability. However, the approach does not obviate the need for making point estimates of the COD.⁴

³The special assessing units of New York City and Nassau County are excluded in calculating the median COD because they use a classified assessing system.

⁴See Robert J. Gloudemans, "Confidence Intervals for the Coefficient of Dispersion: Limitations and Solutions," *Assessment Journal*, Nov./Dec. 2001.

Table 3 summarizes the 2012 COD information according to type of assessing unit, as measured by population density, and the COD guidelines shown in Table 2. A total of 40 percent of the sampled assessing units had 2012 CODs that reflected uniform assessing practices for the entire roll. In the case of residential property only, 21 percent of the sampled units had uniform rolls.

Table 3. Summary of COD Values for Sampled, Assessing Units, by Degree of Urbanization (2012 Market Value Survey)

Population Density (Per Sq. Mi.)	No. of Assessing Units	Uniform COD Guideline		Percent of Assessing Units Achieving Uniform Level	
		Residential	All Property	Residential	All Property
≤100	228	15	20	18%	34%
>100 - ≤400	106	12	17	26%	47%
>400	97	10	15	24%	45%
TOTAL	431	-	-	21%	40%

Note: Data for the individual municipalities within a Coordinated Assessment Program (CAP) are reported.


Table 4 shows the combined results for sampled and non-sampled Assessing units. When the non-sampled units – those for which a recent reassessment program was reviewed and verified – are combined with sampled units achieving satisfactory uniformity, a total of 724 (73.7%) of the state’s assessing units had uniform assessment rolls. This represents an increase of one assessing unit over the number found to be equitable in the 2011 survey analysis.

Table 4. Assessment Uniformity, Sampled and Non-Sampled Assessing Units* (2012 Market Value Survey)

	Total	Number with Uniformity	
		Residential	All Property
Sampled	431	91	172
Non-Sampled	552	552	552
Total	983	643 (65.4%)	724 (73.7%)

*Data for the individual municipalities within a Coordinated Assessment Program (CAP) are reported.

The geographical distribution of equitable assessing is shown in Figure 1. It can be observed that, in many parts of New York, all or nearly all the municipalities in a county have uniform rolls. On the other hand, clusters of rural assessing units with inequitable rolls are to be found in several areas, including the Northern Catskills, Southern Tier, Adirondacks and Southwestern New York. Some of the densely populated units in the Lower Hudson Valley and Long Island areas also have not achieved equitable rolls.




Another view of the equity of assessment rolls can be obtained from analysis of the level of assessment reflected on the roll, as contrasted with the degree of uniformity. Table 5 shows the distribution of 2012 equalization rates, which reflect the average percentage of market value used in assessing. The data indicate that over 69 percent of all assessing units now have assessments that are at least 75 percent of current market value. Although there are some assessing units with assessments that are well below market value but which are still uniform and equitable, experience has demonstrated that current market assessments are strongly correlated with equity, and the level of assessment findings thus support the Table 4 data on assessment uniformity.

The 92 assessing units having a level of assessment of 25% or less probably have decades-old rolls, i.e., no general reassessment program has likely been conducted in a great many years. The additional 49 having a level of assessment in the 25% to 50% range are also very outdated, even in areas of the State where real estate markets experienced rapid appreciation during certain periods.

Table 5. Level of Assessment, as Measured by 2012 State Equalization Rate

Level of Assessment	Number of Assessing Units*	
0.00 - 10.00	57	(5.8%)
10.01 - 25.00	35	(3.6%)
25.01 - 50.00	49	(5.0%)
50.01 - 75.00	159	(16.2%)
75.01 - 100.00	656	(66.9%)
Greater than 100.00	25	(2.5%)
Total	981	(100%)
*Data for the individual municipalities within a Coordinated Assessment Program (CAP) are reported. Data for special assessing units of Nassau County and New York City are excluded.		

Figure 2 shows the trend in assessment uniformity among New York assessing units since 1980. In the 1980s, only about 10 percent of all assessing units had acceptable uniformity. Dramatic improvement occurred in the early 1990s however, and by 2004, over 80 percent of all assessing units were assessing uniformly. There were modest declines thereafter, in a context of turbulent real estate market conditions in some areas, which persist to the present day. It is believed that real estate market volatility is the primary reason for the noticeable lack of progress in achievement of greater uniformity over the past decade.



Price-Related Differential Results

As indicated earlier, another important summary statistic for assessment performance is the price-related differential (PRD). The PRD is calculated by dividing the simple mean assessment ratio by the weighted mean ratio, where the weighted mean is the sum of assessed values divided by the sum of sales prices and/or appraised values. The simple mean counts the ratio of each property equally, regardless of the property’s value, whereas the weighted mean counts each ratio differently, weighting ratios of higher-value properties more heavily, in proportion to their dollar value. If no assessment bias exists, the two mean ratios should be equal, producing an index of 1.00. Where there is evidence of a bias in favor of under-assessing the higher-value properties relative to the lower-value ones, the simple mean ratio will be higher than the value-weighted mean ratio, producing an index greater than 1.00 (regressivity). The reverse will be true in cases of over-assessment of high-value properties relative to those of low-value (progressivity). IAAO suggests that the PRD have a value between .98 and 1.03 for neutral assessing.

Table 6 summarizes the extent of value-related equity as measured by the PRD for sampled assessing units. About 43 percent of the sampled assessing units assessed residential property in a neutral manner, i.e., they generally did not tend to favor either high- or low-value properties. However, 56 percent tended to over-assess low-value homes relative to high-value homes, while only two units tended to do the reverse. These results are similar to those found in the prior market value survey.

Table 6. Value-Related Bias in Assessing, Sample Assessing Units, 2012 Market Value Survey

Price-Related Differential	Residential Class		All Property Classes	
	Number of Assessing Units	Percent	Number of Assessing Units	Percent
Progressive	2	1%	84	19%
Neutral	186	43%	167	39%
Regressive	243	56%	180	42%
TOTAL	431	100%	431	100%


Note: Data for the individual municipalities within a Coordinated Assessment Program (CAP) are reported.

When all property classes are combined, the situation changes significantly. Table 6 shows that 19 percent of the sampled assessing units use assessing practices that are biased toward over-assessment of higher-value properties, indicating over-assessment of some non-residential classes (generally industrial, commercial and utility property). About 42 percent demonstrate the opposite behavior, regressive assessing, meaning that they tend to overvalue the lower-priced properties (generally vacant land or low-value residential parcels). The remaining 39 percent of the assessing units assess in a neutral manner with respect to value when all property classes are considered together.

Recent Reassessment Activity Subsequent to the 2012 Market Survey

Approximately 7 percent (30) of the 431 assessing units for which CODs and PRDs were calculated are conducting or plan to conduct a reassessment on a roll subsequent to the one that was utilized in the 2012 survey. For these assessing units, the COD and PRD estimates contained in this report are a measure of past assessment equity only; the level of uniformity on the newer roll may well be significantly improved from the level on the roll evaluated. Of the 552 assessing units for which recent reassessment projects were reviewed for the 2012 market value survey, 439 have scheduled a subsequent reassessment project. With the recent inception of the Cyclical Reassessment Aid Program, and its requirement to complete a reappraisal at least once in every four years, these subsequent reassessments will be performed on a roll completed between 2013 and 2016, depending on the schedule of the municipality's planned participation. Thus, approximately 80 percent of those that have already taken steps to maintain equitable assessing practices are projecting that they will reassess again in the next three to four years.

Figure 3 indicates the number of municipalities that have submitted plans for the Cyclical Reassessment Aid Program. As of December 5, 2012, some 427 assessing units are committed to updating their assessment rolls on a regular basis, thus ensuring that equity will be maintained as market conditions change. It should be noted that the Cyclical Reassessment Program is still in a period of transition and, apart from the requirement for a reappraisal at least once every four years, offers municipalities options in terms of the frequency of their reassessments. One option is to reassess annually, but the number who do so cannot be accurately measured at this time.



**Conclusions and
Recommendations**

Achievement of assessment equity in New York improved dramatically over the past thirty years, reached a peak in 2004 and, since then, has hovered around 70 to 80 percent of assessing units. Following the large gains in earlier years, there seems to have been a moderate decline in the second half of the past decade, and more than one quarter of assessing units currently have very outdated assessments. It appears that existing aid programs for quality assessment administration are no longer attracting significant numbers of new participants. Thus, it may be worthwhile for policymakers to consider additional tools to bring about greater assessment equity. Other states employ a number of such tools, and virtually all states have more requirements directed at maintenance of assessment uniformity than New York has.

Standard of Assessment	All states other than New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania require that a common level of assessment (most frequently, 100 percent of current market value) be applied in all assessing jurisdictions). This approach has many advantages, including, equitable tax treatment of like properties, greater taxpayer understanding of the basis of the tax, and both fair and cost-effective apportionment of taxes and state aid among local governments. It is also consistent with recommendations of the IAAO concerning the most appropriate state policies with respect to assessment standards.
Reassessment Cycle	In recognition of the fact that real estate markets are constantly changing, many states require that assessments be updated periodically. While annual updating is the ideal, actual practice usually involves cycles that generally range from two to six years, in recognition of the time that is generally needed to accumulate sufficient market data (especially in small, rural assessing units), the amount of work required, including data verification, valuation, outreach to property owners, and assessment appeals, and the cost of the entire process. While New York's aid incentive program for reassessment now provides for a four-year reassessment cycle, it must be understood that the program is not mandatory.
Direct Equalization	States using this policy tool directly change assessments on the rolls prepared by local governments, in contrast to the indirect equalization used in states such as New York, where the portion of a county or school tax levy to be borne by a particular municipality is adjusted in recognition of that municipality's overall level of assessment. The main advantage of direct equalization is that it changes the assessment, so that property owners can then compare their assessments to local market prices. A limitation, however, is that the state-level adjustments may well be quite crude factors that are applied to an entire property class or assessment jurisdiction, especially when adjustments must be made for many assessing units.
Withholding of State Payments	Rather than attempt to make rough adjustments to assessments in order to bring them to the correct market level, many states instead use monetary sanctions. These generally involve the withholding of monies that local governments would ordinarily receive from the state on an annual basis, such as state aid payments, a local share of certain state taxes, or fees that

are collected by the state and sent to local governments, in whole or in part. The payments are restored only when the assessments in question are brought up to state standards.

Ordering a Reassessment

Some states simply direct a local government with faulty assessments to conduct a reassessment and, if the locality in question fails to comply with the directive, the state may hire a contractor to do the work and charge the local government for the cost of the project. Massachusetts is a nearby state where such action is the final remedy for outdated or inequitable assessments.

Appendix A — Local Reassessment Project Review and Analysis

APPENDIX A

New York State Office of Real Property Tax Services

Local Reassessment Project Review and Analysis

Assessing Unit:	<input type="text"/>	SWIS or CAP Code:	<input type="text"/>
County:	<input type="text"/>	Assessment Year Reviewed:	<input type="text" value="2012"/>
Assessor(s):	<input type="text"/>	Telephone:	<input type="text"/>
		Fax:	
RPTS Director:	<input type="text"/>	Telephone:	<input type="text"/>
Assessing Unit's Stated Uniform Percentage of Value:	<input type="text"/>		
Reviewer:	<input type="text"/>	Review Completion Date:	<input type="text"/>

1) GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF REASSESSMENT

RPTL Section 102 defines a reassessment as “the systematic analysis of the assessments of all locally assessed properties, valued as of the valuation date of the assessment roll containing those assessments, to attain compliance with the standard of assessment set forth in subdivision two of Section 305, RPTL”.

1	Is there intent on the part of this assessing unit to comply with the requirements for Aid pursuant to RPTL Section 1573 (6) (2) (a)? [Y/N]	
2	Enter the assessment roll year of the most recent <i>previous</i> assessing unit-wide reappraisal. Enter NA if previous effort was more than ten years ago.	
3	Is this year’s reassessment effort a complete assessing unit-wide reappraisal? [Y/N]	
4	Is this year’s reassessment effort being assisted by a vendor? [Y/N]	
5	What was the Equalization Rate for the prior year’s assessment roll?	
6	Has the municipality adopted Article 19 (Homestead) in conjunction with this reassessment or is Article 19 already in effect?	

2) DOCUMENTATION FOR FINDINGS FOR SYSTEMATIC ANALYSIS

a. Acquisition and Maintenance of Parcel Inventory Data

Major Types A, B, and C

1	Data Items: Does the assessing unit's parcel inventory database contain all the data items specified in 9NYCRR Parts 190? [Y/N]	
	If the assessing unit is using RPS V4, answer Y and proceed to Question #2. Otherwise, complete the Checklist in Appendix A and continue to 1. a. below.	
	a. If N , what data item(s) are missing?	
	b. If N , in the reviewer's opinion, will these missing data items adversely affect the assessing unit's capacity to conduct systematic analysis? If Y to this question, provide an explanation below.	
2	Enter the assessment roll year of the last municipal-wide data collection or verification project.	
3	Enter the assessment roll year of the last municipal-wide issuance of inventory data mailers or equivalent.	

Reviewer's Summary - Acquisition and Maintenance of Parcel Inventory Data (Major Types A, B, and C)

4	State and explain your conclusion(s) as to whether the methods utilized by the assessing unit for the acquisition and maintenance of parcel inventory data are adequate.
ORPTS' Regional Reviewer:	Date:

2) c. Grouping of Inventory and Valuation Data

[Respond Y/N/NA or as appropriate.]

1	Briefly describe, below, the assessing unit's current grouping of inventory and valuation data for all but complex utility property.	
2	If groupings were geographically based, are grouping maps available?	

Reviewer's Summary - Grouping of Inventory and Valuation Data (Major Types A, B, and C)

3	State and explain your conclusion(s) as to whether the methods utilized by the assessing unit for the grouping of inventory and valuation data are adequate.	
ORPTS' Regional Reviewer:		
		Date:

2) d. Analysis of Data - *Diagnostics*

Diagnostics do not need to be performed since this year's reassessment effort is a complete assessing unit-wide reappraisal. Continue to the next section.

2) e. Applying Valuation Techniques - *Prescriptives*

[Respond Y/N/NA or as appropriate.]

1	Land Valuation				
	a. Was a “primary” land type (or equivalent) used for both improved and vacant parcels with road frontage?				
	b. Does private forestland (property class 9xx in Roll Section 1) comprise more than 10% of the assessing unit’s total assessed value? If Y, answer the following question; otherwise go to c.				
	i. Were stumpage values applied or taken into consideration?				
	c. *Method(s) used for Land Valuation				
	For improved properties, this table refers to the land component only		Major Type A	Major Type B	Major Type C
	Land Schedule				
	Comparable Sales		NA	NA	
	Allocation Method				NA
	Abstraction Method				NA
Land Residual Technique		NA		NA	
Capitalization of Ground Rental		NA		NA	

*Definitions of each of these land valuation methods can be found in the Instruction Booklet.

2) e. Applying Valuation Techniques – *Prescriptives* (Cont.)

[Respond Y/N/NA or as appropriate.]		Major Type A	Major Type B	Major Type C
2	Was the market approach utilized?			
	a. Was Comparable Sales methodology used?			
	b. Was Multiple Regression Analysis used?			
	c. Was AEP (feedback) used?			
	d. Was another methodology used? If Y, describe below.			
	e. Sales adjustment for time? If Y, describe the adjustments below.			
3	Was the cost approach utilized? If N for all Major Types, go to Question 4. If RPS Cost system used, go to Question 4.			
	a. Enter base date for cost table (MMYY)			
	b. Location multiplier used ¹ (Enter multiplier.)			
	c. Misc. multiplier/adjustment used ² (Enter multiplier.)			
	d. Was a generally accepted method of depreciation used for adjustments?			
4	Was the income approach utilized? If N or NA for all Major Types, go to Question 5.	NA		NA
	a. Was a RPS Valuation Factor File (VFF) or equivalent compiled, utilized and available?	NA		NA

¹ Adjustment factor for geographic market areas

² Any other adjustment factor used, e.g., time adjustment

2) e. Applying Valuation Techniques – Prescriptives (Cont.)

Reviewer’s Summary - Applying Valuation Techniques – Prescriptives (Major Types A, B, and C)

5	State and explain your conclusion(s) as to whether the methods utilized by the assessing unit for the prescriptive application of valuation techniques are adequate.
ORPTS’ Regional Reviewer: <input style="width: 200px;" type="text"/>	
Date: <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	

2) f. Validation of Results

Reviewer’s Summary - Validation of Results (Major Types A, B and C)

1	State and explain your conclusion(s) as to whether the methods utilized by the assessing unit for the Validation of Results are adequate.
ORPTS’ Regional Reviewer: <input style="width: 200px;" type="text"/>	
Date: <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	

3) DOCUMENTATION FOR FINDINGS FOR REVISION OF VALUES

1. Enter the appropriate data	Municipal Parcel Count From The Reassessment Roll	# Of Parcels Where The Assessment Has Changed	# Of Parcels Where The Assessment Has Not Changed
TOTALS	0	0	0

2	Referring to the items listed in “ <i>Project Review Documentation</i> ” (which can be found in instructions):	
	a. Did the ORPTS’ reviewer have access to, and review, All , Some or None of the listed products?	
	b. If the answer to the previous question was not All , provide an explanation as to what products were not accessible, an explanation for their absence and/or why they were not reviewed.	

4) DOCUMENTATION FOR FINDINGS FOR MAINTAINING ASSESSMENTS AT A STATED UNIFORM LEVEL

a. Tests To Confirm That Assessments Are At The Stated Uniform Percentage Of Value

1	*Latest Prior FVM Data			Reassessment Roll Data**			Percent Change in Market Value (B-A)/A
	Major Type	No. of Parcels	Market Value A	Percent of Total	No. of Parcels	Assessed Value at 100%** B	
A			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
B			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
C			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
D			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TSOL			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Totals	0	\$0	#DIV/0!	0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

*The full value measurement data to be entered is that data used to derive last year's equalization rate.

**If stated uniform percentage found on the cover of this document is not 100%, divide the actual assessed value for each Type by the stated uniform percent to obtain the assessed value at 100%.

2	Identify the source of the Reassessment Roll Data [Enter Y for the appropriate source.]		
a. Assessment disclosure file		c. Final roll file	
b. Tentative roll file		d. Other (Identify)	

3	Comparison of the Change Between Previous Year's Municipal Full Value and Reassessment Roll Assessed Value Totals (from question a. 1 above) vs. the ORPTS' FVM Trend From Last Year to the Current Year by Major Type		
	A Residential	B Commercial	C Vacant
Change in Value			
Current Year FVM Trend			
Difference			

4	Explanation of differences (only necessary if difference in table above > +/- 10 percentage points)
Explanation of the Difference for Major Type A	

4 a) Tests to Confirm That Assessments Are At The Stated Uniform Percentage of Value (Cont.)

Explanation of the Difference for Major Type B

Explanation of the Difference for Major Type C

4) DOCUMENTATION FOR FINDINGS FOR MAINTAINING ASSESSMENTS AT A STATED UNIFORM LEVEL

b) Complex (Large) Parcel Review - For Major Type A

Copy and complete a separate form for each Major Type A, B and/or C in this assessing unit that has a complex (large) parcel. All parcels in the same Major Type that fit the description of complex (large) should be included on the same form. Major Type D parcels will be reviewed by the SVS Reviewer in Appendix B.

[Respond Y/N/NA or as appropriate.]

1	Record the following values for any parcel(s) or economic units that represent 10% or more of the total market value or 10% or more of the total assessed value of the entire assessing unit as indicated in the FVM/AV comparison table. (Include larger T-units from the latest previous survey that do not meet the 10% criteria but are valued at \$5,000,000 or more.)				
	Major Type	A	(A) ORPTS' Determination of Value	(B) Local Assessed Value @ 100% of Market Value	(C) Dollar Difference (absolute value) (A) - (B) = (C)
	Parcel Identification				
	1				
	2				
(D) Total Dollar Difference:				0	
2	Describe the source of ORPTS' Opinion of Value given above in Question #1:				
	1				
	2				
3	In the table above, if the "ORPTS' Determination of Value" does not equal the "Local Assessed Value", indicate below with an X the source of the Local Value determination.				
	Local Value (Appraisal)	Court Ordered Assessment	Negotiated Settlement	Other (Please specify)	
	1				
	2				
4	Describe the documentation available for the item(s) checked in Question #3:				
	1				
	2				

4) b. Complex (Large) Parcel Review - For Major Type A (Cont.)

5	<p>Is the assessing unit's inventory for the above parcel(s) current, accurate and complete? [Y/N]</p> <p>If N for any parcel, provide explanation below:</p>	
6	<p>Were acceptable valuation practices employed for the above parcels? [Y/N]</p> <p>If N for any parcel, provide explanation below:</p>	
7	<p>Is the value of any of the above parcels currently in litigation? [Y/N]</p> <p>If so, provide a list of these parcels in the space below showing to which year(s) the litigation applies and indicate whether appraisals for each parcel have been exchanged between the litigating parties.</p>	
8	<p>Enter the total ORPTS' determination of Market Value for this Major Type in the assessing unit. (See example in the Instructions.)</p>	
9	<p>Calculate and enter 10% of the Question 8 value. (This will be the dollar amount above and below 100% of market value that still falls within acceptable IAAO standards for Level of Assessment.)</p>	
10	<p>Is the Total Dollar Difference in Question 1(D) of this section greater than the dollar amount in Question #9? [Y/N]</p>	
<p>If the answer to Question 10 is Y, then it is highly probable that this discrepancy in opinion of value is large enough to justify a recommendation for an Alternate Uniform Percentage other than the Stated Uniform Percentage of Value for this Major Type. This information would be included in the documentation for the Reviewer's Summary for this Major Type.</p>		

4) DOCUMENTATION FOR FINDINGS FOR MAINTAINING ASSESSMENTS AT A STATED UNIFORM LEVEL

b) Complex (Large) Parcel Review - For Major Type B

Copy and complete a separate form for each Major Type A, B and/or C in this assessing unit that has a complex (large) parcel. All parcels in the same Major Type that fit the description of complex (large) should be included on the same form. Major Type D parcels will be reviewed by the SVS Reviewer in Appendix B.

[Respond Y/N/NA or as appropriate.]

1	Record the following values for any parcel(s) or economic units that represent 10% or more of the total market value or 10% or more of the total assessed value of the entire assessing unit as indicated in the FVM/AV comparison table. (Include larger T-units from the latest previous survey that do not meet the 10% criteria but are valued at \$5,000,000 or more.)				
	Major Type	B	(A) ORPTS' Determination of Value	(B) Local Assessed Value @ 100% of Market Value	(C) Dollar Difference (absolute value) (A) - (B) = (C)
	Parcel Identification				
	1				
	2				
(D) Total Dollar Difference:				0	
2	Describe the source of ORPTS' Opinion of Value given above in Question #1:				
	1				
	2				
3	In the table above, if the "ORPTS' Determination of Value" does not equal the "Local Assessed Value", indicate below with an X the source of the Local Value determination.				
	Local Value (Appraisal)	Court Ordered Assessment	Negotiated Settlement	Other (Please specify)	
	1				
	2				
4	Describe the documentation available for the item(s) checked in Question #3:				
	1				
	2				

4) b. Complex (Large) Parcel Review - For Major Type B (Cont.)

5	Is the assessing unit's inventory for the above parcel(s) current, accurate and complete? [Y/N] If N for any parcel, provide explanation below:	
6	Were acceptable valuation practices employed for the above parcels? [Y/N] If N for any parcel, provide explanation below:	
7	Is the value of any of the above parcels currently in litigation? [Y/N] If so, provide a list of these parcels in the space below showing to which year(s) the litigation applies and indicate whether appraisals for each parcel have been exchanged between the litigating parties.	
8	Enter the total ORPTS' determination of Market Value for this Major Type in the assessing unit. (See example in the Instructions.)	
9	Calculate and enter 10% of the Question 8 value. (This will be the dollar amount above and below 100% of market value that still falls within acceptable IAAO standards for Level of Assessment.)	
10	Is the Total Dollar Difference in Question 1(D) of this section greater than the dollar amount in Question #9? [Y/N]	
<p>If the answer to Question 10 is Y, then it is highly probable that this discrepancy in opinion of value is large enough to justify a recommendation for an Alternate Uniform Percentage other than the Stated Uniform Percentage of Value for this Major Type. This information would be included in the documentation for the Reviewer's Summary for this Major Type.</p>		

4) DOCUMENTATION FOR FINDINGS FOR MAINTAINING ASSESSMENTS AT A STATED UNIFORM LEVEL

b) Complex (Large) Parcel Review - For Major Type C

Copy and complete a separate form for each Major Type A, B and/or C in this assessing unit that has a complex (large) parcel. All parcels in the same Major Type that fit the description of complex (large) should be included on the same form. Major Type D parcels will be reviewed by the SVS Reviewer in Appendix B.

[Respond Y/N/NA or as appropriate.]

1	Record the following values for any parcel(s) or economic units that represent 10% or more of the total market value or 10% or more of the total assessed value of the entire assessing unit as indicated in the FVM/AV comparison table. (Include larger T-units from the latest previous survey that do not meet the 10% criteria but are valued at \$5,000,000 or more.)				
	Major Type	C	(A) ORPTS' Determination of Value	(B) Local Assessed Value @ 100% of Market Value	(C) Dollar Difference (absolute value) (A) - (B) = (C)
	Parcel Identification				
	1				
	2				
(D) Total Dollar Difference:				0	
2	Describe the source of ORPTS' Opinion of Value given above in Question #1:				
	1				
	2				
3	In the table above, if the "ORPTS' Determination of Value" does not equal the "Local Assessed Value", indicate below with an X the source of the Local Value determination.				
	Local Value (Appraisal)	Court Ordered Assessment	Negotiated Settlement	Other (Please specify)	
	1				
	2				
4	Describe the documentation available for the item(s) checked in Question #3:				
	1				
	2				

4) b. Complex (Large) Parcel Review - For Major Type C (Cont.)

5	Is the assessing unit's inventory for the above parcel(s) current, accurate and complete? [Y/N] If N for any parcel, provide explanation below:	
6	Were acceptable valuation practices employed for the above parcels? [Y/N] If N for any parcel, provide explanation below:	
7	Is the value of any of the above parcels currently in litigation? [Y/N] If so, provide a list of these parcels in the space below showing to which year(s) the litigation applies and indicate whether appraisals for each parcel have been exchanged between the litigating parties.	
8	Enter the total ORPTS' determination of Market Value for this Major Type in the assessing unit. (See example in the Instructions.)	
9	Calculate and enter 10% of the Question 8 value. (This will be the dollar amount above and below 100% of market value that still falls within acceptable IAAO standards for Level of Assessment.)	
10	Is the Total Dollar Difference in Question 1(D) of this section greater than the dollar amount in Question #9? [Y/N]	
<p>If the answer to Question 10 is Y, then it is highly probable that this discrepancy in opinion of value is large enough to justify a recommendation for an Alternate Uniform Percentage other than the Stated Uniform Percentage of Value for this Major Type. This information would be included in the documentation for the Reviewer's Summary for this Major Type.</p>		

4) DOCUMENTATION FOR FINDINGS FOR MAINTAINING ASSESSMENTS AT A STATED UNIFORM LEVEL

c) Statistical Analysis of Results - Major Types A, B, and C

Enter appropriate data below.

1a	CAMA Ratio Study Statistics for Major Type A	
	1) Total Major Type A Parcels	
	2) Number of Parcels in Study	
	3) Minimum Ratio	
	4) Maximum Ratio	
	5) Standard Deviation	
	6) Price Related Differential (PRD)	
	7) Coefficient of Dispersion (COD)	
	8) Mean Ratio	
	9) Median Ratio	
	10) Weighted Mean Ratio (WM)	
	11) Average 2012 Total Assessed Value	
12) Average Model Estimate		

1b	Conclusion of CAMA Ratio Analysis For Major Type A	

4) c. Statistical Analysis of Results - Major Types A, B, and C (Cont.)

2	Is a statistically valid sales ratio available? [Y/N]	
	If "Y", continue to 2a. If "N", go to sales ratio conclusion 2f.	

Enter appropriate data below.

2a	Sale Ratio Study Statistics for Major Type A	
	1) Years of Sales	
	2) Number of Sales	
	3) Minimum AV/TASP Ratio	
	4) Maximum AV/TASP Ratio	
	5) Standard Deviation	
	6) Price Related Differential (PRD)	
	7) Coefficient Of Dispersion (COD)	
	8) Mean Ratio	
	9) Median Ratio	
	10) Weighted Mean Ratio (WM)	
	11) Weighted Mean x .95	
	12) Confidence Level Tested < 95%? Enter "N" or alternate %	
	13) Weighted Mean Confidence Interval Low Limit	
	14) Low Limit > 95% of WM? Enter (Y/N)	
	15) Weighted Mean x 1.05	
	16) Weighted Mean Confidence Interval High Limit	
	17) Hi Limit < 105% of WM? Enter (Y/N)	
	18) Average 2012 Total Assessed Value	
	19) Average Sale Price	
20) Average Time Adjusted Sale Price		

2b	Compare the CAMA weighted mean ratio and the Sale ratio weighted mean confidence interval low limit.	
	1) Enter CAMA weighted mean ratio (item 4c., 1a, 10)	
	2) Enter Sale ratio weighted mean confidence interval Low Limit (item 4c., 2a, 13)	
	If (1) is less than (2), then complete sections (4c., 2c-2f); otherwise, skip to Sale Ratio Conclusion (4 c., 2f)	

4) c. Statistical Analysis of Results - Major Types A, B, and C (Cont.)

Enter appropriate data below.

2c	Sale Price Dependent Assessed Values (SPDAV) for Major Type A.				
		Sold	Unsold	Difference	SPDAV (Y/N)
	Parcel Count			NA	NA
	A.) Percent With Assessment Changes				
	Chi-Square Test – Exact Significance		NA	NA	NA
	B.) Average Percent of Change				
	Mann-Whitney Test – “Z” Value		NA	NA	NA
	C.) Regression Coefficient		NA	NA	
	“t-value” of Regression Coefficient		NA	NA	NA

2d	Conclusion of SPDAV Analysis.

If SPDAV exists, continue with Alternate Sales Ratio Statistics (2e); otherwise, go to Conclusion of Sales Ratio Analysis for Major Type A (2f).

4) c. Statistical Analysis of Results - Major Types A, B, and C (Cont.)

2e	Alternate Sales Ratio Statistics for Major Type A	
	1) Sales Ratio Adjusted by Regression Coefficient	
	2) Sales Ratio Adjusted by Average Percent of Change	
	3) Alternate sales ratio, adjusted by change-in-level, derived from assessments that are not affected by SPDAV.	

2f	Conclusion of Sales Ratio Analysis for Major Type A	

4) c. Statistical Analysis of Results - Major Types A, B, and C (Cont.)

3	Sales Analysis for Major Types B and C (Answer the first question and then enter results only if statistical confidence tests are met)	
Statistical Measure	B Commercial	C Vacant
Did the ratio meet statistical confidence tests? (Y/N)		
Number of Sales		
P.R.D.		
C.O.D.		
Average Assessed Value		
Average Sale Price		
Median Ratio		
Z-Value for Uniform Percent		

- (1) All sales used in the Z-Test analysis are valid, ratio usable and are from a one year period consistent with the one year period used for sales ratio analysis used for Major Type A. Assessed values are from the reassessment roll. Sales in a major type with 40 or more sales have been trimmed to remove the highest (2.5%) and lowest (2.5%) ratio sales.

4) c. Statistical Analysis of Results – Major Types A, B, and C (Cont.)

4	Conformity with Uniformity Acceptability	
	a. Referring to the Sales Ratio C.O.D. and P.R. D. figures on the previous pages for Major Types A, B, and C, do the local reassessment values meet IAAO ratio study performance standards for assessment uniformity? [Y/N/NA] NA means that not enough data was available to draw conclusions directly from this analysis.	
	A - Residential	
	B - Commercial/Industrial	
	C - Farm/Vacant	
	b. If the answer to the previous question was <u>not</u> [Y] for any Major Type, can the reviewer determine that the reassessment values in that Major Type are uniform using an alternate procedure? [Y/N/NA] NA means that the Major Type has already met ratio study standards in the previous question.	
	A - Residential	
	B - Commercial/Industrial	
	C - Farm/Vacant	
	c. Give an explanation (and data, if appropriate) for any Major Type where an alternative procedure indicates Uniformity acceptability. Include a description of that alternative procedure.	

4) c. Statistical Analysis of Results - Major Types A, B, and C (Cont.)

5	Conformity with Level Acceptability	
	a. Referring to the statistics (Mean Ratio, Median Ratio, Weighted Mean Ratio, etc.) on the previous pages for Major Types A, B, and C, do the local reassessment values conform with the locality's stated uniform percentage of value in accordance with IAAO ratio study performance standards? [Y/N/NA] NA means that not enough data was available to draw conclusions directly from this analysis.	
	A - Residential	
	B - Commercial/Industrial	
	C - Farm/Vacant	
	b. If the answer to the previous question was <u>not</u> [Y] for any Major Type, can the reviewer determine that the reassessment values in that Major Type conform with the stated uniform percentage of value using an alternate procedure? [Y/N/NA] NA means that the Major Type has already been confirmed in the previous question.	
	A - Residential	
	B - Commercial/Industrial	
	C - Farm/Vacant	
	c. Give an explanation (and data, if appropriate) for any Major Type where an alternative procedure indicates Level acceptability. Include a description of that alternative procedure.	

4) d. Alternate Uniform Percentage Recommendation

Test Of Level Of Assessment At An Alternate Uniform Percentage Of Value: Record the corresponding information in the table below only if an Alternate Uniform Percentage is being recommended and is supported by the z-value statistic generated with the SIMFVM analysis. Enter the reasons why an Alternate Uniform Percentage of Value is being recommended on the respective Major Type Reviewer's Summary.

Major Type	Alternate Uniform Percentage	No. of Sales	Z-Value For Alternate Uniform Percentage
A			
B			
C			
ORPTS' Regional Reviewer:			Date:

5) REVIEWER'S SUMMARIES FOR FULL VALUE MEASUREMENT

Reviewer's Summary - Major Type A

Recommendation for Major Type A – Residential	
Accept local reassessment values at the recommended Uniform Percentage of:	%
If the recommended Uniform Percentage is not the Stated Uniform Percentage, explain below.	
ORPTS' Regional Reviewer:	Date:

5) REVIEWER'S SUMMARIES FOR FULL VALUE MEASUREMENT (Cont.)

Reviewer's Summary - Major Type B

Recommendation for Major Type B - Commercial/Industrial			
Accept local reassessment values at the recommended Uniform Percentage of:			%
If the recommended Uniform Percentage is not the Stated Uniform Percentage, explain below.			
ORPTS' Regional Reviewer:		Date:	

5) REVIEWER'S SUMMARIES FOR FULL VALUE MEASUREMENT (Cont.)

Reviewer's Summary - Major Type C

Recommendation for Major Type C - Vacant/Farm/Private Forest			
Accept local reassessment values at the recommended Uniform Percentage of:			%
If the recommended Uniform Percentage is not the Stated Uniform Percentage, explain below.			
ORPTS' Regional Reviewer:		Date:	

5) REVIEWER'S SUMMARIES FOR FULL VALUE MEASUREMENT (Cont.)

Reviewer's Summary - Taxable State Owned Land

Recommendation for Taxable State Owned Land (TSOL)	
<p>If no TSOL exists in this assessing unit, enter NA.</p> <p>If TSOL exists in this assessing unit, has the TSOL Unit accepted the local reassessment values at the Stated Uniform Percentage of value for this assessing unit? [Y/N] Enter appropriate explanations below.</p>	
ORPTS' Regional Reviewer:	Date:

6) FULL VALUE MEASUREMENT ATTESTATION

Major Types A, B, C and Taxable State Owned Land

Approval Statement:

I have reviewed the attached materials and provide the corresponding recommendations for Major Types A, B, C and Taxable State Owned Land. I attest that the documentation for Major Types A, B, C and TSOL is complete.

Approve

Disapprove

REGIONAL MANAGER SIGNATURE:		DATE:	
Regional Manager's Comments/Explanation:			

7) INTER-CLASS ASSESSMENT UNIFORMITY ATTESTATION FOR STATE AID

(Not to be used for Special Assessing Units)

1 Complete the table below by entering data in the "Municipal Full Value", "Uniform Percentage" and "ORPTS' Full Value Determination" columns for each major Type and TSOL, or use "auto-load".

Major Type	Municipal Full Value	Uniform Percentage	ORPTS' Full Value Determination	Percent FV
A				
B				
C				
D				
TSOL				
Totals				

2) Are the assessing unit's aggregate full values from the reassessment roll being used in Full Value Measurement at a Uniform Percentage of 100% for Major Types A, B, C and D and TSOL (if any)?

YES NO

If Yes, assessing unit meets uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes.

If No, proceed to Question 3.

3) Are the assessing unit's aggregate full values from the reassessment roll being used at a Uniform Percentage of 100% for all but one Major Type of property?

YES NO

If No, assessing unit does NOT meet uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes.

If Yes, proceed to Question 4.

4) Calculate the percentage difference between the assessing unit's estimate of municipal full value on the reassessment roll (a) and ORPTS' determination of municipal full value on the reassessment roll (b).

Let a = assessing unit's estimate of municipal value on the reassessment roll

Let b = ORPTS' determination of full value on the reassessment roll

Calculate: $[(a-b)/b] \times 100 = \% \text{ Difference}$

[(_____ minus _____) / _____] X 100 = _____ % Difference

Is the calculated percentage difference two percent or less?

YES NO

If Yes, assessing unit meets uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes.

If No, proceed to Question 5.

7) INTER-CLASS ASSESSMENT UNIFORMITY ATTESTATION FOR STATE AID (Cont.)

5) Is the class in question Major Type A (Residential property)?

YES

NO

If Yes, assessing unit does NOT meet uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes.

If No, proceed to Question 6.

6) On which class of property is there disagreement? (Check one and go to 6a or 6b.)

Major Type B

Major Type C

Major Type D

TSOL

a) If Major Type B, C or TSOL, is the percentage difference calculated in question 4 equal to 10 percent or less?

YES

NO

If Yes, assessing unit meets uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes.

If No, assessing unit does NOT meet uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes.

b) If Major Type D, is the percentage difference calculated in question 4 equal to 20 percent or less?

YES

NO

If Yes, assessing unit meets uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes.

If No, assessing unit does NOT meet uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes.

ORPTS' Regional Reviewer:		Date:	
---------------------------	--	-------	--

8) SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH CRITERIA FOR STATE AID

Findings for Compliance with Statute and Rules

With respect to the following State aid criteria affecting compliance with Statute and Rules, ORPTS finds as follows:								Y/N/NA	
1	All property is assessed at a uniform percentage of 100 or meets the provisions of paragraph 2(4) of the ORPTS Procedures for State Assistance for the Maintenance of a System of Improved Real Property Tax Administration pursuant to the State Board Rules Effective with 1999 Assessment Rolls (9NYCRR 201-2).								
	MT A	0.00%	MT B	0.00%	MT C	0.00%	MT D		0.00%
	Class 1	0.00%	Class 2	0.00%	Class 4	0.00%	Class 3		0.00%
2	Frequency of Sales reporting: sales are reported to ORPTS in a mechanized format on at least a quarterly basis.								
3	Percentage of arms-length sales reported: at least 90% of the arms-length sales that occur between prior final roll and current final roll (current roll is defined at the assessment roll year for which the application is being made) are reported to ORPTS.								
	Actual Percent						0.00%		
4	Assessor's reports are in compliance with Part 193 of the State Board's rules, in particular, that parts 1 and 2 are filled within 30 days of the filing of the final roll and are reconciled by ORPTS staff.								
5	Valid exemption codes are on 95% of the parcels receiving exemptions.								
	Actual Percent						0.00%		
6	15-C file (mechanized assessment roll file, in RPS or other approved format that includes assessment and sale and parcel inventory) is submitted within 30 days of the filing of final roll.								
7	Assessment roll preparation meets the requirements of 190-1.2 and 190-1.3 of rules, including (but not limited to) parcel identification, property classification codes, school codes, roll sections and assessed value entry.								
8	Assessment disclosure notices as required by RPTL Section 511 were sent and required Meetings were held.								
9	Was this year's reassessment effort a complete assessing unit-wide appraisal?								

Regional Manager's Determinations

Based on the findings and recommendations on the preceding pages, I have made the following determinations:	Y/N
Does this assessing unit meet the uniformity criteria for State Aid purposes?	
Has the assessing unit substantially complied with statutes and rules as outlined in 9NYCRR 201-2?	

Regional Manager's Summary of Findings and Recommendations:

It is determined that the City/Town of _____, _____ County;

- qualifies for State Aid for its 20XX assessment roll based upon the findings of staff and for the reasons discussed in my conclusion included herein.
- does *not* qualify for State aid for its 20XX assessment roll based upon the findings of staff and for the reasons discussed in my conclusions included herein.

Regional Manager

Date

APPENDIX A – MINIMUM INVENTORY DATA ITEM CHECKLIST

Complete this two page Checklist only for assessing units that are *not* using RPSV4. Indicate with a Y or N whether each of the following data items is included in the assessing unit’s database.

Property Characteristics (Residential/ Farm/Vacant)			
Land Characteristics		Residential Building Characteristics	
Land type code or description		Building style	
Land size		Exterior wall material	
Waterfront type(if appropriate)		Year built	
Soil rating (if appropriate)		Number of baths *	
Influence code and percent (if appropriate)		Fireplace (yes/no) *	
Site Characteristics (except for farms)		Sketch with Measurements	
Sewer (if not available to all)		Heat type *	
Water (if not available to all)		Basement type	
Utilities (if not available to all)		Overall condition	
Site desirability		Overall grade	
Neighborhood type (if used)		Square feet of living area	
Neighborhood rating (if used)		Improvements Characteristics	
Zoning (if used)		Structure code or description.	
		Size	
		Year built	
		Condition	

*Does not apply to New York City

APPENDIX A – MINIMUM INVENTORY DATA ITEM CHECKLIST (Cont.)

Property Characteristics (Commercial/Industrial)			
Land Characteristics		Building Characteristics	
Land type code or description		Cost model, or frame and wall material	
Land size		Effective Year Built	
Waterfront type (if appropriate)		Construction quality	
Soil rating (if appropriate)		Gross floor area or cubic feet	
Influence code and percent		Number of stories or cubic feet	
Site Characteristics		Story height or cubic feet	
“Used as” code or description		Basement type	
Overall desirability		Basement square feet	
Overall condition		Sketch	
Overall effective year built		Improvement Characteristics	
Overall grade		Structure code or description	
		Size	
		Year Built	
		Condition	
Rentable area			
All Parcels Except Apartments		All Apartments	
“Used as” code or description		“Used as” code or description	
Square feet of rentable area		Square feet of rentable area	
Unit code or measurement		Number of apartment units	
Additional Characteristics of Industrial Property			
Plot plan		Real property equipment	

APPENDIX A.2

New York State Office of Real Property Tax Services

Local Reassessment Project Review and Analysis VSD Segment

Assessing Unit:

SWIS or CAP Code:

County:

Assessment Year Reviewed:

Reviewer:

Review Completion Date:

1) General Description of Reassessment

1	Indicate whether advisory appraisals were requested for All, Some or No properties in Major Type D. [Answer A, S, or N]	
2	a) Has a UCARS effort already been completed in this assessing unit? [Y/N]	
	b) If “ N ”, then in what assessment roll year does this assessing unit propose to complete its UCARS effort?	

2) a. Acquisition and Maintenance of Parcel Inventory Data

If Advisory Appraisals were requested and used, enter NA in the questions below

1	Does the assessing unit meet the standards for inventory and valuation data for utility properties as specified in 9NYCRR Part 190-1.1? Note: See Appendix VSD for list of data items. [Y/N/NA]	
----------	--	--

VSD Reviewer’s Summary - Acquisition and Maintenance of Parcel Inventory Data (Major Type D)

2	For Major Type D , is the inventory information of sufficient quality and consistency for use in valuing complex public utility property? [Y/N/NA]	
	If answer is N , explain below.	
ORPTS’ VSD Reviewer:		
		Date:

2) e. Applying Valuation Techniques – Prescriptives

Respond NA to the following question if advisory appraisals were not requested.

1	Were all of the ORPTS' advisory appraisals for Major Type D that the assessing unit requested used in their entirety? [Y/N/NA]	
----------	---	--

To be completed if advisory appraisals were not used in their entirety or not requested.

2	For Major Type D : State your conclusion(s) as to whether the methods utilized by the assessing unit for the prescriptive application of valuation techniques are adequate.		
ORPTS' VSD Reviewer:		Date:	

4) e. Utility Value Reconciliation [VSD Reviewer will input (cut and paste) an Excel spreadsheet if advisory appraisals were not used for all utility properties.]

5) REVIEWER'S SUMMARIES FOR FULL VALUE MEASUREMENT (Cont.)

Reviewer's Summary - Major Type D (To be completed by VSD Reviewer)

Recommendation for Major Type D – Utility			
Accept local reassessment values at the recommended Uniform Percentage of:			%
If the recommended Uniform Percentage is not the Stated Uniform Percentage, explain below.			
ORPTS' VSD Reviewer:		Date:	

6) FULL VALUE MEASUREMENT ATTESTATION

Major Type D

Approval Statement:

I have reviewed the attached materials and provide the corresponding recommendation for Major Type D.

Approve Disapprove

VSD MANAGER SIGNATURE:		DATE:	
VSD Manager's Comments/Explanation:			

8) c. APPENDIX VSD – MINIMUM INVENTORY DATA ITEM CHECKLIST

Complete this Checklist only for assessing units that are *not* using RPSV3 or RPSV4. Indicate with a **Y** or **N** whether each of the following data items is included in the assessing unit's property record card or database.

Utility Property Characteristics			
Land Characteristics		Building Characteristics	
Land type code or description		Cost model, or frame and wall material	
Land size		Effective Year Built	
Waterfront type (if appropriate)		Construction quality	
Soil rating (if appropriate)		Gross floor area or cubic feet	
Influence code and percent		Number of stories or cubic feet	
Site Characteristics		Story height or cubic feet	
"Used as" code or description		Basement type	
Overall desirability		Basement square feet	
Overall condition		Sketch	
Overall effective year built		Improvement Characteristics	
Overall grade		Structure code or description	
		Size	
		Year Built	
		Condition	
Rentable area		Additional Characteristics	
"Used as" code or description		Plot plan	
Square feet of rentable area		Real property equipment	
Unit code or measurement			



Appendix B — Measuring Assessment Uniformity from Market Survey data: Weighted Coefficient of Dispersion

APPENDIX B

MEASURING ASSESSMENT UNIFORMITY FROM MARKET SURVEY DATA: WEIGHTED COEFFICIENT OF DISPERSION

1. Computing the Coefficient of Dispersion

The coefficients of dispersion (CODs) contained in this report are calculated from the estimates of market value (sales, appraisals, and Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal (CAMA) estimates) derived in the New York State Board of Real Property Tax Services' 2012 market value survey. "Weighted" CODs for the entire assessment roll are calculated when appraisals and/or sales are available and are used to reflect the composition of each assessment roll appropriately, as rolls may be stratified by property type and value category for survey purposes. In contrast, CODs calculated through CAMA need not be weighted as they use data for all the parcels for which values are being predicted.

The general formula for a coefficient of dispersion around the median assessment ratio is:

$$(1) \text{ COD} = \frac{100}{R_m} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |R_i - R_m|}{N} \right)$$

where:

- COD = coefficient of dispersion, i.e., the average percent of dispersion around the median assessment ratio;
- R_m = median assessment ratio;
- R_i = observed assessment ratio for each parcel;
- N = number of properties sampled.

This general formula is usually applied in estimating the COD from non-stratified sales data, where the true representativeness of each sale is unknown. Where a sales ratio analysis was used directly in the survey (residential property only), the formula above describes the residential COD calculation accurately. Where a stratified sample was used and the

B.2

representativeness of each sampled parcel is known, the formula can be modified by weighting each of the observed assessment ratios as follows:

Let i = the sampled parcel, j = the stratum, and R_{ij} = the assessment ratio of the i^{th} parcel in the j^{th} stratum.

Let w_j = p_j / s_j , where:

p_j = the total number of parcels on the assessment roll in the j^{th} stratum;

s_j = the number of sampled j^{th} stratum.

Let \bar{w} = the total number of parcels on the roll divided by the total number sampled (i.e., the reciprocal of the overall sampling ratio).

The weight (w_j) is calculated for each stratum, and is identical for all sampled parcels within it. For example, in a municipality where there are 600 residential parcels in the assessed value range of \$40,000 to \$80,000, and six of them are selected in a random sample, then each of the six sample ratios would have a weight of 100 because it is assumed to represent 100 of the parcels in that range (stratum).

Since i signifies the sampled parcel and j the stratum it was selected from, the assessment ratio for a given observation will thus be R_{ij} . As in the case of formula (1) above, we must calculate the absolute difference between R_{ij} and R_m . Then, these differences are adjusted to reflect the composition of the entire roll rather than the sample by applying to each the ratio w_j / \bar{w} . For all observations within each of the i strata, the formula for the weighted coefficient of dispersion around the median thus becomes:

$$(2) \text{ COD}_w = \frac{100}{R_m} \left[\frac{\sum_i \sum_j \frac{w_j}{\bar{w}} |R_{ij} - R_m|}{N} \right]$$

The procedure for calculating the weighted coefficient for each assessing unit entails the following steps.

1. Calculate the assessment ratio (R_{ij}) for each sample parcel by dividing the assessed value by the estimated market value.

B.3

2. Array the assessment ratios from lowest to highest within each assessing unit.
3. Calculate the weight (w_i) for each stratum and \bar{w} , representing the total number of parcels on the roll divided by the size of the sample.
4. Normalize the weight of each sampled parcel by dividing by (\bar{w}).
5. Select the median assessment ratio (R_m) from the weighted list (length of list equals the total number of parcels sampled.)
6. Apply equation (2) above.

It is important to note that the median assessment ratio as used in equation (2) will not necessarily be the same as the median of the sampled ratios, i.e., as used in equation (1). The former median, from the "weighted" list of appraisals, reflects the weighting applied to achieve equal representativeness in the population.

For cases where the stratification process is embedded even further, such as multiple school district portions within an assessing unit, the calculations embodied in these equations entail additional subscripts. However, the general form of the equation remains the same. Once again, the purpose of weighting is to correct, to some degree, the deficiencies of the sampling procedures from the standpoint of measuring uniformity, i.e., to construct a measure built upon equally-likely selection of each parcel from an assessment roll.

In instances where CAMA model estimates were used in lieu of regular appraisals (residential property only), the COD calculation procedure was modified as follows: (1) a residential COD was computed for the modeled residential parcels, according to the formula in equation (1) above; (2) a non-residential COD was computed for the remaining parcels using the formula given in equation (2) above; and (3) to compute an all-property weighted COD, these two COD estimates were combined through weighting them according to relative parcel numbers.

II. Computing the Price-Related Differential

The price-related differential (PRD) is used to determine if there is a price-related bias in a municipality's assessing practices. It compares the simple mean of the assessment ratios to the price-weighted mean ratio. If no bias exists, the two figures will be virtually equal and the PRD would be close to one (1.0), indicating assessment neutrality. If a municipality tends to over-assess higher valued properties, the price-weighted mean will be higher than the simple mean and an index of less than 1.0 will result (indicating progressivity). The opposite occurs

B.4

when higher-valued properties are consistently under-assessed. In this case, the price-weighted mean will be lower than the simple mean and the result will be an index which is greater than 1.0, indicating regressivity.

Example of Price-Related Differential Values

	<u>Regressive Greater than 1.03</u>	<u>Neutral 0.98 to 1.03</u>	<u>Progressive Less than 0.98</u>
Ratios:			
a. Simple Mean	.70	.70	.70
b. Price-weighted Mean	.58	.68	.85
Price-Related Differential (a / b)	1.21	1.03	0.82

The formula for the price-related differential uses the same weighting process previously described in relation to the coefficient of dispersion:

$$(3) \quad IR = \frac{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^j \left(R_{ij} \cdot \frac{w_j}{w} \right)}{N}}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^j \left(ASV_{ij} \cdot \frac{w_j}{w} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^j \left(APV_{ij} \cdot \frac{w_j}{w} \right)}} = \frac{\text{Simple Mean}}{\text{Weighted Mean}}$$

where:

- N = the total number of sampled properties;
- i = the sampled parcel;
- j = the stratum;
- w_j = the weight of every sampled parcel drawn from the jth stratum (see previous discussion of sample weighting in relation to COD);
- $\frac{w_j}{w}$ = the total number of parcels in a stratum divided by the total number sampled in that stratum (see previous discussions of sample weighting in relation to COD);

B.5

- R_{ij} = ratio of assessed value to estimated market value (appraisal or sale) (one for each sampled property in each stratum);
- ASV_{ij} = assessed value of the "ith" sampled property in the jth stratum; and
- EMV_{ij} = estimated market value of the "ith" sampled property in the jth stratum.

Appendix C – 2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential Report

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C2
12/18/2012

County of Albany

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
010100	Albany										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	7.86	1.00	107.00	107.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	7.73	1.01			104.44		
010300	Cohoes										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.02	1.07	56.00	56.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	19.87	1.06			56.56		
011800	Watervliet										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
012000	Berne										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.40	0.95	67.00	67.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	26.50	1.10			65.80		
012200	Bethlehem										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	7.79	1.01	98.00	98.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	7.08	1.00			96.32		
012400	Coeymans										
	B	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	10.33	1.01	105.48	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	CAMA	10.77	1.01			107.23		
012600	Colonie										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.73	0.91	69.75	69.75			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.84	1.00			59.06		
012800	Green Island										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	26.34	0.77	5.71	5.71		2014	
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.35	1.06			4.45	2014	
013000	Guilderland										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.11	1.02	87.72	87.72			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.94	0.99			87.72		
013200	Knox										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.26	0.97	60.00	60.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	18.10	1.06			59.83		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C3
12/18/2012

County of Albany

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
013400	New Scotland										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.64	1.12	100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.71	1.02			98.72		
013600	Rensselaerville										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.28	1.10	60.00	60.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	23.66	1.13			57.83		
013800	Westerlo										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	19.76	1.04	0.97	0.97			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	19.38	1.03			0.85		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C4
12/18/2012

County of Allegany

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
022000	Alfred										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.30	2014	yes
022200	Allen										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.52	2015	yes
022400	Alma										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.89	1.12	83.00	83.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.33	1.06			90.80		
022600	Almond										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
022800	Amity										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
023000	Andover										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			92.00	92.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					88.03		
023200	Angelica										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
023400	Belfast										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			94.00	94.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					87.80		
023600	Birdsall										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					91.88	2014	yes
023800	Bolivar										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.97		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C5
12/18/2012

County of Allegany

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
024000	Burns										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
024200	Caneadea										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.06	2014	yes
024400	Centerville										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
024600	Clarksville										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
024800	Cuba										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
025000	Friendship										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	32.66	1.02	70.00	70.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	26.64	1.13			84.49	2013	yes
025200	Genesee										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.81	2014	yes
025400	Granger										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.09	1.07	82.00	82.00			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	12.25	1.06			81.69		
025600	Grove										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
025800	Hume										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.90	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C6
12/18/2012

County of Allegany

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
026000	Independence										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
026200	New Hudson										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
026400	Rushford										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			79.00	79.00		2013	yes
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					72.42	2013	yes
026600	Scio										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	24.87	1.11	69.00	69.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	20.34	1.05			69.44	2013	
026800	Ward										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.95	2014	yes
027000	Wellsville										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.89	1.12	83.00	83.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.33	1.06			90.80		
027200	West Almond										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.27	2014	yes
027400	Willing										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.61		
027600	Wirt										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C7
12/18/2012

County of Broome

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
030200	Binghamton										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	25.47	1.09	85.00	85.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	25.89	1.11			79.48		
032000	Barker										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
032200	Binghamton										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.25	1.03	66.90	66.90			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.27	1.07			64.29		
032400	Chenango										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.02	1.02	66.25	66.25			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.23	1.01			65.09		
032600	Colesville										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	40.98	1.26	7.95	7.95			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	35.39	1.23			8.13		
032800	Conklin										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.89	0.93	65.50	65.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.48	1.03			57.66		
033000	Dickinson										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.41	1.08	65.00	65.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	24.60	1.08			66.63		
033200	Fenton										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.69	1.05	67.50	67.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.37	1.04			65.71		
033400	Kirkwood										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.77	1.01	79.50	79.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.81	1.09			70.48		
033600	Lisle										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.62	1.02	59.00	59.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	20.44	1.05			63.40	2013	

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C8
12/18/2012

County of Broome

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
033800	Maine										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.01	1.10	70.00	70.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	23.86	1.10			68.22		
034000	Nanticoke										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.62	1.02	59.00	59.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	20.44	1.05			63.40		
034200	Sanford										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	23.93	1.07	66.00	66.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	19.63	1.06			66.18		
034400	Triangle										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	29.42	1.11	63.00	63.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	20.77	1.04			63.81		
034600	Union										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.49	0.44	4.85	4.85			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.47	1.04			4.18		
034800	Vestal										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
035000	Windsor										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	33.35	1.02	70.00	70.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	28.43	1.13			70.46		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C9
12/18/2012

County of Cattaraugus

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
041200	Olean										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
041600	Salamanca										
	C	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	18.74	0.99	19.00	19.00			
	C	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.18	1.00			17.25		
042000	Allegany										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
042200	Ashford										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	23.42	1.04	62.00	62.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	16.41	1.03			62.08		
042400	Carrollton										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.67		
042600	Coldspring										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
042800	Conewango										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	16.97	1.05	65.00	65.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	19.85	1.04			70.46		
043000	Dayton										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	19.78	1.02	79.00	79.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.85	1.07			80.37		
043200	East Otto										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.23	1.02	69.50	69.50			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	12.92	1.02			71.04		
043600	Ellicottville										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C10
12/18/2012

County of Cattaraugus

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
043800	Farmersville										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
044000	Franklinville										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	28.41	1.03	72.00	72.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.55	1.05			76.59		
044200	Freedom										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
044400	Great Valley										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
044600	Hinsdale										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.59	2014	yes
044800	Humphrey										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.59	2014	yes
045000	Ischua										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.59	2014	yes
045200	Leon										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
045400	Little Valley										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.54	1.10	70.00	70.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	15.06	1.03			73.33		
045600	Lyndon										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C11
12/18/2012

County of Cattaraugus

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
045800	Machias										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
046000	Mansfield										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
046200	Napoli										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.32	1.03	59.00	59.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	19.33	1.06			58.46		
046400	New Albion										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	15.13	1.04	76.00	76.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.24	1.02			78.40		
046600	Olean										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.36	0.89	78.00	78.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.46	1.03			77.33		
046800	Otto										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.25	1.01	59.50	59.50		2013	
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	16.00	1.05			63.36	2013	
047000	Perrysburg										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	17.37	1.00	71.00	71.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	13.79	1.01			69.68		
047200	Persia										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.42	1.09	76.00	76.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	19.70	1.06			78.43		
047400	Portville										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.50	1.06	98.00	98.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.21	1.06			97.90		
047600	Randolph										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.34	1.03	89.00	89.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.06	1.06			87.77		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C12
12/18/2012

County of Cattaraugus

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
047800	Red House										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
048000	Salamanca										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.39		
048200	South Valley										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.38	1.06	73.00	73.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	20.92	1.03			78.58		
048400	Yorkshire										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.90	1.02	18.00	18.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.52	1.01			17.95		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C13
12/18/2012

County of Cayuga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
050100	Auburn										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.77	2014	yes
052000	Aurelius										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.65		
052200	Brutus										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.41	1.05	93.00	93.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.91	1.04			94.29		
052400	Cato										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.47		
052600	Conquest										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
052800	Fleming										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	31.53	1.16	37.33	45.00			
	B	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	24.00	1.10			38.33		
053000	Genoa										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.81		
053200	Ira										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					102.28	2014	yes
053400	Ledyard										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.65		
053600	Locke										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					104.08		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C14
12/18/2012

County of Cayuga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
053800	Mentz										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
054000	Montezuma										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.61	1.06	91.00	91.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	10.68	1.03			93.06		
054200	Moravia										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.27		
054400	Niles										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					105.47	2015	yes
054600	Owasco										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.98	1.00	89.00	89.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.07	1.02			85.18		
054800	Scipio										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					91.80		
055000	Sempronius										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.46	2015	yes
055200	Sennett										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					107.58	2014	yes
055400	Springport										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.37	1.07	87.50	87.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.43	1.07			86.12		
055600	Sterling										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C15
12/18/2012

County of Cayuga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
055800	Summerhill										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.34		
056000	Throop										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.57		
056200	Venice										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.73		
056400	Victory										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					102.28	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C16
12/18/2012

County of Chautauqua

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
060300	Dunkirk										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.53	1.09	82.00	82.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	24.93	1.10			79.36		
060800	Jamestown										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
062000	Arkwright										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	29.72	0.79	55.00	55.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	14.46	1.03			49.48		
062200	Busti										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
062400	Carroll										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
062600	Charlotte										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
062800	Chautauqua										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
063000	Cherry Creek										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
063200	Clymer										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
063400	Dunkirk										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.00	0.96	73.50	73.50			
	B	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	18.58	1.00			64.86		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C17
12/18/2012

County of Chautauqua

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
063600	Ellery										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
063800	Ellicott										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
064000	Ellington										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.74	2013	yes
064200	French Creek										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.73	2013	yes
064400	Gerry										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
064600	Hanover										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					91.90	2014	yes
064800	Harmony										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00		2013	yes
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.66	2013	yes
065000	Kiantone										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00		2013	yes
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.66	2013	yes
065200	Mina										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.73	2013	yes
065400	North Harmony										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			96.00	96.00		2013	yes
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.58	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C18
12/18/2012

County of Chautauqua

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
065600	Poland										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			99.00	99.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.24	2013	yes
065800	Pomfret										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.05	1.03	20.21	20.21			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.52	1.04			19.13		
066000	Portland										
	B	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	19.86	1.08	54.00	54.00			
	B	2011	Residential	CAMA	22.55	1.08			52.38		
066200	Ripley										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.39	2014	yes
066400	Sheridan										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	15.85	0.98	70.00	70.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	17.33	1.04			73.36		
066600	Sherman										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.73	2013	yes
066800	Stockton										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.73	2013	yes
067000	Villanova										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.48	0.94	65.00	65.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	15.26	1.04			67.25		
067200	Westfield										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	23.08	0.95	80.00	80.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	19.35	1.07			83.42		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C19
12/18/2012

County of Chemung

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
070400	Elmira										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.72	1.10	90.00	90.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.69	1.09			90.36		
072000	Ashland										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	25.68	1.05	1.69	1.69			
	B	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	25.74	1.05			1.94		
072200	Baldwin										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	43.56	1.00	1.69	1.69			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	30.80	1.13			1.75		
072400	Big Flats										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
072600	Catlin										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			93.00	93.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					86.79	2013	yes
072800	Chemung										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.67	2013	yes
073000	Elmira										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
073200	Erin										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			92.00	92.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.44	2015	yes
073400	Horseheads										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
073600	Southport										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.67	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C20
12/18/2012

County of Chemung

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
073800	Van Etten										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.67	2013	yes
074000	Veteran										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.80		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C21
12/18/2012

County of Chenango

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
081100	Norwich										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	29.33	1.04	69.00	69.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	19.63	1.02			59.24		
082000	Afton										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	41.89	1.08	61.00	61.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	23.89	1.07			61.40		
082200	Bainbridge										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.15	2014	yes
082400	Columbus										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.15	2014	yes
082600	Coventry										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					87.43	2014	yes
082800	German										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.22	1.07	44.50	44.50			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	19.55	1.07			40.91		
083000	Greene										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			94.00	94.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					89.11		
083200	Guilford										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
083400	Lincklaen										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.92	1.06	64.00	64.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	25.16	1.02			61.79		
083600	Mc Donough										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.99	1.03	77.37	77.37			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.61	0.99			72.32		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C22
12/18/2012

County of Chenango

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
083800	New Berlin										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
084000	North Norwich										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.87	1.00	65.00	65.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	11.26	1.01			64.53		
084200	Norwich										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.85	0.89	52.75	52.75			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.52	1.05			45.72		
084400	Otselic										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.50	1.08	48.00	48.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	22.50	1.08			49.41		
084600	Oxford										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	23.60	1.07	67.00	67.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	22.24	1.05			64.36		
084800	Pharsalia										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	30.84	0.96	55.04	55.04			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	36.86	1.00			49.39		
085000	Pitcher										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	31.39	1.04	49.00	49.00			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	34.59	1.12			51.17		
085200	Plymouth										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	32.04	1.11	55.00	55.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	32.66	1.08			56.32		
085400	Preston										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	65.74	1.47	43.00	43.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	60.32	1.27			42.28		
085600	Sherburne										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.77	1.07	78.00	78.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.35	1.06			71.57		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C23
12/18/2012

County of Chenango

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
085800	Smithville										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	23.85	1.12	67.00	67.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	20.54	1.03			73.06		
086000	Smyrna										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	19.17	1.08	65.00	65.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	22.05	1.08			62.43		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C24
12/18/2012

County of Clinton

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
091300	Plattsburgh										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
092000	Altona										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
092200	Ausable										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
092400	Beekmantown										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
092600	Black Brook										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
092800	Champlain										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
093000	Chazy										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
093200	Clinton										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
093400	Dannemora										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
093600	Ellenburg										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C25
12/18/2012

County of Clinton

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
093800	Mooers										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
094000	Peru										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
094200	Plattsburgh										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
094400	Saranac										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
094600	Schuyler Falls										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C26
12/18/2012

County of Columbia

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
100600	Hudson										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
102000	Ancram										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.09		
102200	Austerlitz										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					104.32	2013	
102400	Canaan										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			107.00	107.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					107.39	2014	yes
102600	Chatham										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.78	1.00	76.00	76.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	11.77	1.01			74.69		
102800	Claverack										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
103000	Clermont										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
103200	Copake										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	28.88	1.15	75.00	75.00		2014	
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	29.69	1.12			75.73	2014	
103400	Gallatin										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.09	2013	
103600	Germantown										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.09	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C27
12/18/2012

County of Columbia

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
103800	Ghent										
	B	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			108.00	108.00		2013	
	B	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					110.79	2013	
104000	Greenport										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
104200	Hillsdale										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					104.32	2013	
104400	Kinderhook										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
104600	Livingston										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.51	1.04	85.00	85.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	17.34	1.01			81.96		
104800	New Lebanon										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	16.83	1.00	116.00	116.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	19.84	1.01			120.88	2013	
105000	Stockport										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.83	1.00	90.00	90.00			
	B	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	12.29	1.00			91.39		
105200	Stuyvesant										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.58	2013	
105400	Taghkanic										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			106.00	106.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.09		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C28
12/18/2012

County of Cortland

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
110200	Cortland										
	C	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	C	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.10		
112000	Cincinnatus										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.52	0.93	93.00	93.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.56	1.01			92.01		
112200	Cortlandville										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.70	2014	yes
112400	Cuyler										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	31.09	0.92	76.50	76.50			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	19.87	1.07			79.33		
112600	Freetown										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.88		
112800	Harford										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.88		
113000	Homer										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					104.17	2015	yes
113200	Lapeer										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.14	0.98	92.00	92.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.55	1.01			90.70		
113400	Marathon										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.14	0.98	92.00	92.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.55	1.01			90.70		
113600	Preble										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.09		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C29
12/18/2012

County of Cortland

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
113800	Scott										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.09		
114000	Solon										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.28		
114200	Taylor										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.07	1.02	92.00	92.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	13.79	1.02			87.55	2014	yes
114400	Truxton										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	14.45	1.16	79.00	79.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	16.61	1.04			89.99	2013	
114600	Virgil										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.91		
114800	Willet										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.18		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C30
12/18/2012

County of Delaware

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
122000	Andes										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
122200	Bovina										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.05	1.00	23.75	23.75			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	24.46	1.08			22.57		
122400	Colchester										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	49.04	0.73	3.23	4.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	46.38	1.31			2.10		
122600	Davenport										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	23.33	1.06	72.66	72.66			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	27.10	1.11			72.26		
122800	Delhi										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.71	0.76	53.95	53.95			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.75	1.06			48.53		
123000	Deposit										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	39.68	0.91	4.84	10.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	38.50	1.15			4.42		
123200	Franklin										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.15	1.01	90.27	94.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.63	1.07			88.41	2013	
123400	Hamden										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	31.60	1.07	18.25	18.25			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	37.49	1.14			17.50		
123600	Hancock										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	45.56	1.05	13.75	13.75			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	36.19	1.14			13.59		
123800	Harpersfield										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.26	1.11	26.80	26.80			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	27.24	1.15			26.86		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C31
12/18/2012

County of Delaware

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
124000	Kortright										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.93	2013	yes
124200	Masonville										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			107.07	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					109.07	2013	yes
124400	Meredith										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.93	2013	yes
124600	Middletown										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.01		
124800	Roxbury										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	32.83	1.03	31.00	31.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.66	1.08			27.11		
125000	Sidney										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.60	1.10	77.19	85.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.47	1.09			79.87		
125200	Stamford										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	34.23	0.82	27.15	27.15			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.69	1.05			23.81		
125400	Tompkins										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	42.07	0.38	4.45	6.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	38.23	1.16			3.01		
125600	Walton										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	43.62	1.04	28.26	28.26			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	38.34	1.19			27.80		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C32
12/18/2012

County of Dutchess

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
130200	Beacon										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
131300	Poughkeepsie										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
132000	Amenia										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
132200	Beekman										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
132400	Clinton										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.81		
132600	Dover										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.80	1.04	52.00	52.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.97	1.02			51.59		
132800	East Fishkill										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
133000	Fishkill										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
133200	Hyde Park										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.72	1.06	56.00	56.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.03	1.03			56.48		
133400	La Grange										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C33
12/18/2012

County of Dutchess

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
133600	Milan										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
133800	Northeast										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
134000	Pawling										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.88	1.06	40.50	40.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.88	1.03			43.52		
134200	Pine Plains										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	32.06	0.96	38.00	38.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.21	1.05			42.99		
134400	Pleasant Valley										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
134600	Poughkeepsie										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
134800	Red Hook										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.97	2013	yes
135000	Rhinebeck										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.78	2013	yes
135200	Stanford										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.88	0.96	61.16	61.16			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.66	1.01			61.07		
135400	Union Vale										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C34
12/18/2012

County of Dutchess

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
135600	Wappinger										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
135800	Washington										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C35
12/18/2012

County of Erie

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
140200	Buffalo										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			99.00	99.00			
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.09		
140900	Lackawanna										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.51		
141600	Tonawanda										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.51	2013	yes
142000	Alden										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	8.92	1.01	51.00	51.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.87	1.02			49.17		
142200	Amherst										
	C	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.23	2013	yes
142400	Aurora										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.60	1.00	41.00	41.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.61	1.01			39.30		
142600	Boston										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.31		
142800	Brant										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			99.00	99.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.91		
143000	Cheektowaga										
	C	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			62.00	62.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					62.17		
143200	Clarence										
	C	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.86	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C36
12/18/2012

County of Erie

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
143400	Colden										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.31	1.01	46.00	46.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.57	1.06			45.64		
143600	Collins										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.86	0.96	62.00	62.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.36	1.06			64.06		
143800	Concord										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.27	1.03	47.00	47.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.70	1.02			47.75		
144000	Eden										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.24	1.02	67.00	67.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.30	1.05			66.29		
144200	Elma										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.86	0.91	4.85	4.85			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.22	1.02			4.74		
144400	Evans										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.57	2014	yes
144600	Grand Island										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.53	1.01	47.00	47.00		2013	yes
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.43	1.01			44.99	2013	yes
144800	Hamburg										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.88	1.01	60.90	60.90			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.69	1.03			57.63		
145000	Holland										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.81	1.02	96.00	96.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.20	1.02			93.23		
145200	Lancaster										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C37
12/18/2012

County of Erie

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
145400	Marilla										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.28	1.00	46.00	46.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.58	1.03			46.58		
145600	Newstead										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
145800	North Collins										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.57	2014	yes
146000	Orchard Park										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.42	1.01	58.00	58.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.62	1.01			57.66		
146200	Sardinia										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	32.80	1.02	58.00	58.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	24.77	1.09			62.21		
146400	Tonawanda										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.69	1.04	47.00	47.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.66	1.04			47.41		
146600	Wales										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.13	0.96	44.00	44.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.80	1.01			43.96		
146800	West Seneca										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.57	1.09	45.00	45.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.04	1.02			46.12		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C38
12/18/2012

County of Essex

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
152000	Chesterfield										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
152200	Crown Point										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.61	2013	yes
152400	Elizabethtown										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
152600	Essex										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
152800	Jay										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
153000	Keene										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
153200	Lewis										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
153400	Minerva										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
153600	Moriah										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
153800	Newcomb										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C39
12/18/2012

County of Essex

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
154000	North Elba										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
154200	North Hudson										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
154400	St. Armand										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
154600	Schroon										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
154800	Ticonderoga										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
155000	Westport										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
155200	Willsboro										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
155400	Wilmington										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					106.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C40
12/18/2012

County of Franklin

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
162000	Tupper Lake										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.71	2014	yes
162200	Bangor										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.86	2014	yes
162400	Bellmont										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.68		
162600	Bombay										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	64.06	1.60	3.12	3.12			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	39.92	1.20			2.74		
162800	Brandon										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.48	1.06	90.00	90.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	23.89	1.08			90.47		
163000	Brighton										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	24.82	1.16	78.37	89.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	22.34	1.02			80.33		
163200	Burke										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	33.17	0.93	62.19	62.19			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	19.93	1.06			65.54		
163400	Chateaugay										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.92	2014	yes
163600	Constable										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.37	1.04	11.07	11.07			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	19.06	1.09			11.69		
163800	Dickinson										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			99.28	99.28			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.26		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C41
12/18/2012

County of Franklin

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
164000	Duane										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.49	0.86	85.21	85.21			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	13.87	0.97			85.37		
164200	Fort Covington										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.96	98.96			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.93		
164400	Franklin										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.90	2014	yes
164600	Harrietstown										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.55	2014	yes
164800	Malone										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.90	1.04	84.73	84.73			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.71	1.06			83.29		
165000	Moira										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	24.79	1.00	68.47	68.47		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	20.25	1.06			69.77	2013	
165200	Santa Clara										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
165400	Waverly										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			96.32	96.32			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.89		
165600	Westville										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.89	0.95	83.00	83.00		2013	
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	10.32	0.98			80.51	2013	

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C42
12/18/2012

County of Fulton

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
170500	Gloversville										
	C	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	C	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.69		
170800	Johnstown										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	32.31	1.07	70.00	70.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	33.94	1.17			64.64		
172000	Bleecker										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.10		
172200	Broadalbin										
	B	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	14.98	1.03	90.00	90.00			
	B	2011	Residential	CAMA	13.68	1.00			92.53		
172400	Caroga										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	34.35	1.28	44.41	42.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	33.29	1.20			40.38		
172600	Ephratah										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	28.01	1.14	84.00	84.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	25.10	1.08			84.63		
172800	Johnstown										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.00	1.08	78.00	78.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	24.28	1.08			74.16		
173000	Mayfield										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	25.39	1.12	74.00	74.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	25.53	1.12			70.50		
173200	Northampton										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.44	1.07	67.00	67.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.01	1.07			63.36		
173400	Oppenheim										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	32.28	1.08	55.93	75.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	33.93	1.19			55.13		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C43
12/18/2012

County of Fulton

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
173600	Perth										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	34.75	1.15	58.00	58.00			
	B	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	31.83	1.13			56.53		
173800	Stratford										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.14	1.06	60.00	60.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	22.08	1.09			54.26	2013	

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C44
12/18/2012

County of Genesee

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
180200	Batavia										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
182000	Alabama										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.30	2014	yes
182200	Alexander										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			93.00	93.00		2013	yes
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.62	2013	yes
182400	Batavia										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
182600	Bergen										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
182800	Bethany										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.10	2014	yes
183000	Byron										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
183200	Darien										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.10	2014	yes
183400	Elba										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			93.00	93.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					87.34	2013	yes
183600	Le Roy										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C45
12/18/2012

County of Genesee

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
183800	Oakfield										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
184000	Pavilion										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
184200	Pembroke										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.10	2014	yes
184400	Stafford										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C46
12/18/2012

County of Greene

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
192000	Ashland										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	15.88	1.14	67.00	67.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	16.48	1.02			70.15		
192200	Athens										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	28.93	0.99	67.00	67.00		2013	
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	27.89	1.11			63.59	2013	
192400	Cairo										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.55	1.02	70.00	70.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.55	1.03			67.92		
192600	Catskill										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.17	1.05	60.50	60.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.87	1.06			59.57		
192800	Coxsackie										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.08	1.07	75.50	75.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.37	1.07			72.65		
193000	Durham										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	36.74	1.08	68.00	68.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	26.09	1.07			71.68		
193200	Greenville										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.46	1.03	80.00	80.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.62	1.03			75.81		
193400	Halcott										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.40		
193600	Hunter										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.99	1.14	61.00	61.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	30.17	1.13			54.42		
193800	Jewett										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.68	0.99	86.00	86.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.15	1.04			87.25	2013	

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C47
12/18/2012

County of Greene

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
194000	Lexington										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
194200	New Baltimore										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.08	1.07	75.50	75.50			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.37	1.07			72.65		
194400	Prattsville										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	34.57	1.23	65.00	65.00		2013	
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	20.71	1.10			61.90	2013	
194600	Windham										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	25.16	1.12	72.00	72.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.66	1.09			68.96	2013	

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C48
12/18/2012

County of Hamilton

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
202000	Arietta										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
202200	Benson										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.54	1.01	93.00	93.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.17	1.07			89.88		
202400	Hope										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.54	1.01	93.00	93.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.17	1.07			89.88		
202600	Indian Lake										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
202800	Inlet										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.47		
203000	Lake Pleasant										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
203200	Long Lake										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					105.70		
203400	Morehouse										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
203600	Wells										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.54	1.01	93.00	93.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.17	1.07			89.88		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C49
12/18/2012

County of Herkimer

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
210900	Little Falls										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	36.31	1.04	20.00	20.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	34.85	1.16			17.57		
212000	Columbia										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.20	1.02	92.00	92.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	16.81	1.03			91.60		
212200	Danube										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.91	1.11	89.00	89.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	20.36	1.06			93.15		
212400	Fairfield										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	15.47	1.06	82.00	82.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.78	1.04			84.11		
212600	Frankfort										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.37	1.03	75.00	75.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.20	1.08			71.96		
212800	German Flatts										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.78	1.06	77.00	77.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.40	1.08			73.15		
213000	Herkimer										
	B	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	16.65	1.05	94.00	94.00			
	B	2011	Residential	CAMA	17.53	1.05			88.80		
213200	Litchfield										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.20	1.02	92.00	92.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	16.81	1.03			91.60		
213400	Little Falls										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.33	1.05	70.00	70.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	13.07	1.02			69.96		
213600	Manheim										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	29.12	1.18	70.00	70.00			
	B	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	25.76	1.08			71.99		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C50
12/18/2012

County of Herkimer

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
213800	Newport										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			107.50	107.50			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					108.01		
214000	Norway										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.31	1.17	72.50	72.50			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	26.94	1.16			73.65		
214200	Ohio										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
214400	Russia										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.40	2014	yes
214600	Salisbury										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
214800	Schuyler										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	19.15	1.05	90.00	90.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	21.60	1.07			87.77		
215000	Stark										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	25.64	1.29	60.00	60.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	23.52	1.09			67.47		
215200	Warren										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	26.81	0.96	69.00	69.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	14.14	1.01			71.30		
215400	Webb										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
215600	Winfield										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.20	1.02	92.00	92.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	16.81	1.03			91.60		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C51
12/18/2012

County of Jefferson

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
221800	Watertown										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.19	1.02	89.00	89.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.26	1.01			84.32		
222000	Adams										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.74	2015	yes
222200	Alexandria										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.84	1.00	94.00	94.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.98	1.07			88.47		
222400	Antwerp										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.81	2014	yes
222600	Brownville										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.86	1.01	63.00	63.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.26	1.01			61.35		
222800	Cape Vincent										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	23.89	1.00	59.50	59.50		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.95	1.02			57.03	2013	
223000	Champion										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
223200	Clayton										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
223400	Ellisburg										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
223600	Henderson										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.57		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C52
12/18/2012

County of Jefferson

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
223800	Hounsfield										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					104.71		
224000	Le Ray										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
224200	Lorraine										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
224400	Lyme										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	39.07	0.96	31.00	31.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	29.57	1.12			32.78	2013	
224600	Orleans										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
224800	Pamelia										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.01	1.01	60.00	60.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.51	1.02			57.45		
225000	Philadelphia										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
225200	Rodman										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
225400	Rutland										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.58	0.98	65.50	65.50			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.13	1.03			63.84		
225600	Theresa										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.71	0.98	50.00	50.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.27	1.04			49.22		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C53
12/18/2012

County of Jefferson

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
225800	Watertown										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.54	0.92	68.00	68.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.67	1.01			53.94		
226000	Wilna										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00		2013	
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					85.80	2013	
226200	Worth										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.04	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C54
12/18/2012

County of Lewis

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
232000	Croghan										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	21.35	1.05	68.00	68.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	13.68	1.02			58.78		
232200	Denmark										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
232400	Diana										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
232600	Greig										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.55	2014	yes
232800	Harrisburg										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
233200	Lewis										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			113.28	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
233400	Leyden										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.68	2013	yes
233600	Lowville										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.86	0.97	94.00	94.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.08	1.04			85.71		
233800	Lyonsdale										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					88.54		
234000	Martinsburg										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			94.00	94.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.91	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential

Page C55
 12/18/2012

County of Lewis

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
234200	Montague										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
234400	New Bremen										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
234600	Osceola										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
234800	Pinckney										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
235000	Turin										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.68	2013	yes
235200	Watson										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
235400	West Turin										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C56
12/18/2012

County of Livingston

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
242000	Avon										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.28	2014	yes
242200	Caledonia										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.77	2014	yes
242400	Conesus										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.95	2014	yes
242600	Geneseo										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.43	2014	yes
242800	Groveland										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.74	2014	yes
243000	Leicester										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
243200	Lima										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.34	2014	yes
243400	Livonia										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
243600	Mount Morris										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
243800	North Dansville										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C57
12/18/2012

County of Livingston

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
244000	Nunda										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
244200	Ossian										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
244400	Portage										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
244600	Sparta										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
244800	Springwater										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.74	2014	yes
245000	West Sparta										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.74	2014	yes
245200	York										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C58
12/18/2012

County of Madison

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
251200	Oneida										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	6.05	0.97	100.00	100.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	5.13	1.01			97.85		
252000	Brookfield										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.76	2014	yes
252200	Cazenovia										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.77	1.01	85.00	85.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.60	1.01			82.33		
252400	De Ruyter										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.30	1.08	90.50	90.50			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.89	1.04			86.45		
252600	Eaton										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.92		
252800	Fenner										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.76	2014	yes
253000	Georgetown										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.92		
253200	Hamilton										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.16	2015	yes
253400	Lebanon										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.92		
253600	Lenox										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C59
12/18/2012

County of Madison

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
253800	Lincoln										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
254000	Madison										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.46	0.94	86.00	86.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.85	1.02			85.61		
254200	Nelson										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.76	2014	yes
254400	Smithfield										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.76	2014	yes
254600	Stockbridge										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
254800	Sullivan										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.00	1.01	85.00	85.00		2013	
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.99	1.01			85.08	2013	

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C60
12/18/2012

County of Monroe

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
261400	Rochester										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
262000	Brighton										
	C	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	C	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.06		
262200	Chili										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
262400	Clarkson										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.41	2013	yes
262600	Gates										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
262800	Greece										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
263000	Hamlin										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.74	2013	yes
263200	Henrietta										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	
263400	Irondequoit										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
263600	Mendon										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C61
12/18/2012

County of Monroe

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
263800	Ogden										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
264000	Parma										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
264200	Penfield										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
264400	Perinton										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
264600	Pittsford										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
264800	Riga										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
265000	Rush										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
265200	Sweden										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
265400	Webster										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	7.96	1.02	91.00	91.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	7.95	1.00			91.47		
265600	Wheatland										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C62
12/18/2012

County of Monroe

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
265800	East Rochester										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C63
12/18/2012

County of Montgomery

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
270100	Amsterdam										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.50	1.10	69.00	69.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	26.68	1.12			66.63		
272000	Amsterdam										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	26.50	1.00	10.15	10.15			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	23.82	1.07			8.97		
272200	Canajoharie										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	17.64	1.06	66.00	66.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.45	1.06			61.27		
272400	Charleston										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.99	2013	
272600	Florida										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	33.82	0.84	54.00	54.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.92	1.04			51.09		
272800	Glen										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.34	1.00	68.00	68.00			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	19.48	1.07			67.24		
273000	Minden										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.89	2013	yes
273200	Mohawk										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					104.62		
273400	Palatine										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	40.57	1.15	58.00	58.00			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.13	1.08			51.08		
273600	Root										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.99	2013	

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C64
12/18/2012

County of Montgomery

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
273800	St Johnsville										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	35.75	0.84	35.00	35.00			
	B	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	27.53	1.08			31.52		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C65
12/18/2012

County of Nassau

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
280000	Nassau County,	County Roll									
	C	2012	1	Review of Reassessment			0.23	0.23		2016	
	C	2012	2	Review of Reassessment			1.00	1.00		2016	
	C	2012	3	Review of Reassessment			1.00	1.00		2016	
	C	2012	4	Review of Reassessment			1.00	1.00		2016	
280500	Glen Cove										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	
280900	Long Beach										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.20	1.05	4.03	4.03			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.03	1.01			4.05		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C66
12/18/2012

County of Niagara

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
290900	Lockport										
	C	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
291100	Niagara Falls										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.41	1.02	92.00	92.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.80	1.04			88.56		
291200	North Tonawanda										
	C	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00			
	C	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.39		
292000	Cambria										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.46	2015	yes
292200	Hartland										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.02	2014	yes
292400	Lewiston										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.07	1.03	82.00	82.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.48	1.02			79.92		
292600	Lockport										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
292800	Newfane										
	B	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			96.00	96.00			
	B	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.68		
293000	Niagara										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.04	1.15	59.00	59.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.61	1.03			70.84		
293200	Pendleton										
	B	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00			
	B	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.23		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C67
12/18/2012

County of Niagara

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
293400	Porter										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.51	1.00	95.00	95.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.12	1.02			92.30		
293600	Royalton										
	B	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00		2014	
	B	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.93	2014	
293800	Somerset										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
294000	Wheatfield										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.73	1.02	69.00	69.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.42	1.02			69.28		
294200	Wilson										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.41	1.02	92.00	92.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.80	1.04			88.56		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C68
12/18/2012

County of Oneida

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
301300	Rome										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.86	1.04	76.50	76.50			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.16	1.08			69.77		
301400	Sherrill										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.04	0.97	73.00	73.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.42	1.03			67.20		
301600	Utica										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.06	1.08	74.00	74.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	24.01	1.12			67.42		
302000	Annsville										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	38.97	0.96	58.50	58.50			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	24.09	1.05			54.46		
302200	Augusta										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	29.60	1.11	70.50	70.50			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	19.06	1.02			70.04		
302400	Ava										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.52	2015	yes
302600	Boonville										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	19.08	1.07	64.00	64.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.26	1.04			62.30		
302800	Bridgewater										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.69		
303000	Camden										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.28	1.01	2.30	2.30			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.09	1.04			2.27		
303200	Deerfield										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.63	0.99	16.30	16.30			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.14	1.02			14.83		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C69
12/18/2012

County of Oneida

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
303400	Florence										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	27.67	1.04	19.00	19.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	27.07	1.03			15.08		
303600	Floyd										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.68	0.99	98.00	98.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.61	1.01			93.66		
303800	Forestport										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			85.00	85.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.91		
304000	Kirkland										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.40	1.04	65.00	65.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.17	1.04			65.63		
304200	Lee										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	23.00	1.01	3.30	3.30			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.72	1.09			3.28		
304400	Marcy										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.59	0.78	75.00	75.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.85	1.04			67.38		
304600	Marshall										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.57	0.94	65.00	65.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.69	1.02			57.99		
304800	New Hartford										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.63	0.99	84.00	84.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.68	1.02			79.04		
305000	Paris										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					102.88	2014	yes
305200	Remsen										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	37.77	1.06	62.00	62.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	27.56	1.12			61.84		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C70
12/18/2012

County of Oneida

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
305400	Sangerfield										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.92	1.01	69.00	69.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.34	1.05			65.07		
305600	Steuben										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.88		
305800	Trenton										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.70	0.96	65.00	65.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.14	1.00			65.06		
306000	Vernon										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.14	1.00	75.00	75.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.94	1.02			71.95		
306200	Verona										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.70	1.03	80.00	80.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.39	1.05			77.38		
306400	Vienna										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	28.63	1.07	62.50	62.50			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	24.35	1.11			63.89		
306600	Western										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	38.44	0.82	58.25	58.25			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	25.72	1.03			55.60		
306800	Westmoreland										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.85	1.06	67.00	67.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.96	1.07			64.84		
307000	Whitestown										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.08	1.05	71.00	71.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.40	1.06			68.71		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C71
12/18/2012

County of Onondaga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
311500	Syracuse										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.17	1.03	84.50	84.50			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.25	1.04			80.36		
312000	Camillus										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
312200	Cicero										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
312400	Clay										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.15	1.00	4.34	4.34			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.93	1.00			4.24		
312600	Dewitt										
	C	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.72	2014	yes
312800	Elbridge										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
313000	Fabius										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
313200	Geddes										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.22	1.00	93.00	93.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.79	1.02			90.96		
313400	LaFayette										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.45	1.01	93.00	93.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.11	1.00			92.52		
313600	Lysander										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C72
12/18/2012

County of Onondaga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
313800	Manlius										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
314000	Marcellus										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.02		
314200	Onondaga										
	C	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.48		
314400	Otisco										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	48.08	1.16	2.26	2.26			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	39.21	1.26			2.47		
314600	Pompey										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
314800	Salina										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
315000	Skaneateles										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
315200	Spafford										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			114.55	100.00		2013	
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					116.80	2013	
315400	Tully										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	
315600	Van Buren										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C73
12/18/2012

County of Ontario

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
320200	Canandaigua										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
320500	Geneva										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			99.00	99.00		2013	
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.05	2013	
322000	Bristol										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.52	2014	yes
322200	Canadice										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			99.00	99.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.38		
322400	Canandaigua										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.16	2014	yes
322600	East Bloomfield										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.94	2015	yes
322800	Farmington										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.34	2013	yes
323000	Geneva										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
323200	Gorham										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.98	2014	yes
323400	Hopewell										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C74
12/18/2012

County of Ontario

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
323600	Manchester										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.66	2014	yes
323800	Naples										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
324000	Phelps										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
324200	Richmond										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.70	2013	yes
324400	Seneca										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
324600	South Bristol										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
324800	Victor										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
325000	West Bloomfield										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2013	yes
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.60	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C75
12/18/2012

County of Orange

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
330900	Middletown										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	23.87	1.06	17.00	17.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	23.97	1.06			16.38		
331100	Newburgh										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
331300	Port Jervis										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.44	1.07	41.00	41.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.59	1.04			39.76		
332000	Blooming Grove										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.84	1.00	17.40	17.40			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.19	1.01			16.48		
332200	Chester										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.96	1.02	64.00	64.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.66	0.99			65.66		
332400	Cornwall										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	7.85	1.02	70.69	70.69			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	6.83	1.01			68.26		
332600	Crawford										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.03	1.01	38.21	38.21			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.19	1.00			38.18		
332800	Deerpark										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	25.08	1.13	55.00	55.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	25.23	1.11			54.92		
333000	Goshen										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.65	0.95	62.50	62.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.03	1.00			60.91		
333200	Greenville										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.58	0.95	61.66	61.66			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.83	1.00			61.06		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C76
12/18/2012

County of Orange

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
333400	Hamptonburgh										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.81	1.00	100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	7.30	1.01			108.02		
333600	Highlands										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
333800	Minisink										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.63	0.97	48.00	48.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.92	1.00			47.61		
334000	Monroe										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.34	0.97	19.00	19.00		2014	
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.56	1.01			18.62	2014	
334200	Montgomery										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.80	1.04	66.00	66.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.98	0.99			65.90		
334400	Mount Hope										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.50	0.89	55.50	55.50			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	7.81	1.00			57.38		
334600	Newburgh										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.21	0.98	39.02	39.02			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.70	1.00			28.82		
334800	New Windsor										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.29	1.02	18.21	18.21			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.60	1.02			15.93		
335000	Tuxedo										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.22	0.98	16.25	16.25			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	7.54	1.02			15.14		
335200	Walkkill										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.11	1.01	20.00	20.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.36	1.01			19.91		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C77
12/18/2012

County of Orange

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
335400	Warwick										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.16	1.01	15.00	15.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.96	1.01			14.37		
335600	Wawayanda										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.57	1.00	66.75	66.75			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.86	0.99			64.84		
335800	Woodbury										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	6.84	1.03	42.81	42.81			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	5.15	1.01			40.78		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C78
12/18/2012

County of Orleans

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
342000	Albion										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.47	2013	yes
342200	Barre										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
342400	Carlton										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.27	2013	yes
342600	Clarendon										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
342800	Gaines										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.47	2013	yes
343000	Kendall										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.15	2013	yes
343200	Murray										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
343400	Ridgeway										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.28	2013	yes
343600	Shelby										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
343800	Yates										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C79
12/18/2012

County of Oswego

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
350400	Fulton										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.60	2014	yes
351200	Oswego										
	C	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.32		
352000	Albion										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
352200	Amboy										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					86.19	2014	yes
352400	Boylston										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.82	2014	yes
352600	Constantia										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.75		
352800	Granby										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.88	2014	yes
353000	Hannibal										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.42	2013	yes
353200	Hastings										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.63	2014	yes
353400	Mexico										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.71	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C80
12/18/2012

County of Oswego

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
353600	Minetto										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.97	2014	yes
353800	New Haven										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.54	2013	yes
354000	Orwell										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.85	2014	yes
354200	Oswego										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.20		
354400	Palermo										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.96		
354600	Parish										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.36	2015	yes
354800	Redfield										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	31.55	0.96	70.00	70.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.94	1.09			70.61		
355000	Richland										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			91.00	91.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					89.61		
355200	Sandy Creek										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.21		
355400	Schroepfel										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.60	1.06	86.90	86.90			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.39	1.06			86.05		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C81
12/18/2012

County of Oswego

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
355600	Scriba										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
355800	Volney										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.92	1.05	90.00	90.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.49	1.05			84.72		
356000	West Monroe										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.88	1.04	3.10	3.10			
	B	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	16.76	1.06			3.00		
356200	Williamstown										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.55	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C82
12/18/2012

County of Otsego

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
361200	Oneonta										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.58	1.00	77.00	77.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.05	1.02			70.94		
362000	Burlington										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	30.85	1.00	51.16	56.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	24.54	1.13			56.77		
362200	Butternuts										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			110.81	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					107.34		
362400	Cherry Valley										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					105.33	2015	yes
362600	Decatur										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	24.33	1.08	48.00	48.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	21.33	1.04			47.20		
362800	Edmeston										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	30.65	0.93	55.00	55.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	18.23	1.07			56.34		
363000	Exeter										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	23.18	1.08	58.00	58.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	22.25	1.09			57.82		
363200	Hartwick										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					109.18		
363400	Laurens										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.23	1.05	100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.81	1.05			104.13		
363600	Maryland										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			106.25	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					106.80	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C83
12/18/2012

County of Otsego

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
363800	Middlefield										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	27.09	0.97	70.00	70.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	17.66	1.04			73.12		
364000	Milford										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	35.64	1.26	54.00	54.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	33.95	1.11			50.36		
364200	Morris										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	42.52	1.11	53.00	53.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	26.04	1.13			59.07		
364400	New Lisbon										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					108.00	2016	yes
364600	Oneonta										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.62	2015	yes
364800	Otego										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			112.33	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					113.34		
365000	Otsego										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			115.35	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					118.16		
365200	Pittsfield										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	30.24	1.16	55.00	55.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	30.62	1.17			56.28		
365400	Plainfield										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			119.13	100.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					119.09		
365600	Richfield										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.72	1.03	99.00	99.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.06	1.06			99.35		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C84
12/18/2012

County of Otsego

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
365800	Roseboom										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	35.94	1.06	50.00	50.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	30.54	1.20			56.97		
366000	Springfield										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	29.98	0.96	57.00	57.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	32.00	1.10			56.95	2013	
366200	Unadilla										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	29.91	1.13	60.00	60.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	31.70	1.13			61.54		
366400	Westford										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	29.44	1.14	55.00	55.00		2013	
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	18.83	1.12			54.98	2013	
366600	Worcester										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	29.62	1.12	60.00	60.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	23.23	1.11			61.76		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C85
12/18/2012

County of Putnam

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
372000	Carmel										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.89	1.03	60.00	60.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.47	1.03			58.57		
372200	Kent										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	
372400	Patterson										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
372600	Philipstown										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.18	1.05	49.10	49.10			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.93	1.03			46.60		
372800	Putnam Valley										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
373000	Southeast										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C86
12/18/2012

County of Rensselaer

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
381400	Rensselaer										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.45	0.90	29.38	29.38			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.45	1.01			22.22		
381700	Troy										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	26.64	1.13	14.00	14.00		2013	yes
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	26.57	1.12			13.96	2013	yes
382000	Berlin										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	29.06	1.00	26.00	26.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	21.21	1.01			25.63		
382200	Brunswick										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.98	1.02	25.81	25.81			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.96	1.02			24.22		
382400	East Greenbush										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.63	2013	yes
382600	Grafton										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	33.18	1.18	8.20	8.20			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.82	1.04			8.01		
382800	Hoosick										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.07	1.01	26.30	26.30			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.11	1.03			26.51		
383000	Nassau										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.88	1.02	70.00	70.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.11	1.07			70.66		
383200	North Greenbush										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.76	1.02	26.50	26.50			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.91	1.02			23.77		
383400	Petersburgh										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	17.40	1.01	56.90	56.90			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	16.65	1.02			54.86		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C87
12/18/2012

County of Rensselaer

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
383600	Pittstown										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.68	1.02	64.00	64.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.03	1.09			62.61		
383800	Poestenkill										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.45	1.02	25.40	25.40			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.02	1.03			23.84		
384000	Sand Lake										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.59	2013	yes
384200	Schaghticoke										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.43	0.96	24.00	24.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.23	1.02			23.95		
384400	Schodack										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			107.15	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					106.15	2014	yes
384600	Stephentown										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	23.47	1.17	29.90	29.90			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	20.24	1.02			29.50		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C88
12/18/2012

County of Rockland

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
392000	Clarkstown										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.34	0.98	32.50	32.50			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.28	1.00			30.31		
392200	Haverstraw										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.37	0.96	107.75	107.75			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.94	1.01			107.49		
392400	Orangetown										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.64	0.95	50.65	50.65			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.52	1.03			44.50		
392600	Ramapo										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.66	1.02	14.95	14.95			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.17	1.02			14.34		
392800	Stony Point										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	26.17	0.88	14.37	14.37			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.73	1.00			13.08		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C89
12/18/2012

County of St Lawrence

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
401200	Ogdensburg										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
402000	Brasher										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	28.85	1.17	90.00	90.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	21.47	1.03			96.09		
402200	Canton										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
402400	Clare										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.41	0.92	4.32	9.00			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	10.32	1.01			4.35		
402600	Clifton										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	22.72	1.08	92.00	92.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	24.00	1.09			84.57		
402800	Colton										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	34.72	0.97	4.21	6.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	25.49	1.06			2.07		
403000	Dekalb										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.08	2013	yes
403200	De Peyster										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.82	2015	yes
403400	Edwards										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
403600	Fine										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	24.76	1.07	85.00	85.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	20.98	1.02			83.14		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C90
12/18/2012

County of St Lawrence

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
403800	Fowler										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	124.90	0.35	14.50	14.50			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	33.86	1.21			9.70		
404000	Gouverneur										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	25.71	0.98	95.00	95.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	21.17	1.07			91.13		
404200	Hammond										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
404400	Hermon										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	28.40	0.94	89.00	89.00		2013	
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	20.64	1.11			93.41	2013	
404600	Hopkinton										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
404800	Lawrence										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			94.00	94.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					83.03	2013	yes
405000	Lisbon										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.78	0.93	83.00	83.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	21.88	1.04			81.07		
405200	Louisville										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					89.98		
405400	Macomb										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	23.12	1.06	56.00	56.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	17.73	1.02			55.03		
405600	Madrid										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.42		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C91
12/18/2012

County of St Lawrence

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
405800	Massena										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.69	2014	yes
406000	Morristown										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.77	2014	yes
406200	Norfolk										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	28.78	0.98	82.00	82.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.37	1.07			82.24		
406400	Oswegatchie										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
406600	Parishville										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	36.81	0.61	5.60	5.60			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	31.30	1.18			4.09		
406800	Piercefield										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					88.57		
407000	Pierrepont										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.55		
407200	Pitcairn										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.36	2014	yes
407400	Potsdam										
	B	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			96.00	96.00		2013	yes
	B	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.79	2013	yes
407600	Rossie										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.09	2015	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C92
12/18/2012

County of St Lawrence

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
407800	Russell										
	A	2010	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.23	1.04	80.00	80.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.86	1.00			85.36		
408000	Stockholm										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			92.00	92.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					85.24		
408200	Waddington										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.91	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C93
12/18/2012

County of Saratoga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
411000	Mechanicville										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.66	1.00	72.00	72.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.66	1.01			66.90		
411500	Saratoga Springs										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.16	1.05	82.00	82.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.72	1.05			80.88		
412000	Ballston										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.04	1.00	100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.74	1.00			95.14		
412200	Charlton										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.42	0.98	70.00	70.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.22	1.02			69.85		
412400	Clifton Park										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.00	0.98	58.00	58.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.60	0.98			56.34		
412600	Corinth										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					102.22	2013	yes
412800	Day										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.06	1.03	69.21	69.21			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	23.88	1.03			64.29		
413000	Edinburg										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	32.96	1.18	56.00	56.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	32.12	1.14			54.95		
413200	Galway										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	29.30	0.90	56.00	56.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.80	1.02			56.56		
413400	Greenfield										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			104.00	104.00			
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.42		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C94
12/18/2012

County of Saratoga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
413600	Hadley										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.85	0.98	78.00	78.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	19.55	1.04			75.14		
413800	Halfmoon										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.20	0.95	62.00	62.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.62	0.98			57.41		
414000	Malta										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
414200	Milton										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	7.52	1.03	95.00	95.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	6.57	1.01			94.57		
414400	Moreau										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			107.05	100.00			
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					102.50		
414600	Northumberland										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
414800	Providence										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	40.76	1.11	21.00	21.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	25.55	1.01			19.53		
415000	Saratoga										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
415200	Stillwater										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.30	0.99	97.00	97.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.28	1.02			94.24		
415400	Waterford										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.85	0.45	35.00	35.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	15.76	1.00			24.25		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C95
12/18/2012

County of Saratoga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
415600	Wilton										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C96
12/18/2012

County of Schenectady

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
421500	Schenectady										
	C	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			104.00	104.00			
	C	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					110.62		
422000	Duanesburg										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.74	1.03	33.45	33.45			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.62	1.01			31.94		
422200	Glenville										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.45	1.01	92.00	92.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.29	1.01			91.70		
422400	Niskayuna										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			107.56	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					106.97	2013	yes
422600	Princetown										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.22	1.04	34.45	34.45			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.50	1.00			33.15		
422800	Rotterdam										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.04	1.01	100.00	100.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.02	1.01			104.09		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C97
12/18/2012

County of Schoharie

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
432000	Blenheim										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.48	1.04	80.00	80.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	14.28	1.02			84.66		
432200	Broome										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
432400	Carlisle										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.03	1.07	81.00	81.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	23.51	1.10			77.04		
432600	Cobleskill										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.71	0.97	81.00	81.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.62	1.03			71.01		
432800	Conesville										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			109.53	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					110.81	2013	yes
433000	Esperance										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.47	0.74	79.00	79.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.92	1.05			71.28		
433200	Fulton										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.93	1.05	65.00	65.00			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	20.47	1.06			67.47		
433400	Gilboa										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	34.23	1.06	1.95	1.95			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	29.80	1.26			1.62		
433600	Jefferson										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.69	1.06	60.00	60.00			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	24.29	1.09			59.49		
433800	Middleburgh										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.69	1.09	68.00	68.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	18.50	1.03			69.96		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C98
12/18/2012

County of Schoharie

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
434000	Richmondville										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			103.00	103.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.72		
434200	Schoharie										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.47	0.74	79.00	79.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.92	1.05			71.28		
434400	Seward										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.03	1.07	81.00	81.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	23.51	1.10			77.04		
434600	Sharon										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.03	1.07	81.00	81.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	23.51	1.10			77.04		
434800	Summit										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	26.04	1.11	62.50	62.50			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	26.06	1.11			59.79		
435000	Wright										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.47	0.74	79.00	79.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.92	1.05			71.28		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C99
12/18/2012

County of Schuyler

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
442000	Catharine										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
442200	Cayuta										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
442400	Dix										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
442600	Hector										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
442800	Montour										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
443000	Orange										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
443200	Reading										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
443400	Tyrone										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C100
12/18/2012

County of Seneca

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
452000	Covert										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.32	2014	yes
452200	Fayette										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.45	0.99	85.00	85.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.21	1.03			85.31		
452400	Junius										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.97	2015	yes
452600	Lodi										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.32	2014	yes
452800	Ovid										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.32	2014	yes
453000	Romulus										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.32	2014	yes
453200	Seneca Falls										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.45	0.99	85.00	85.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.21	1.03			85.31		
453400	Tyre										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.83	2015	yes
453600	Varick										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.64	2014	yes
453800	Waterloo										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C101
12/18/2012

County of Steuben

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
460300	Corning										
	C	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					91.57	2014	yes
460600	Hornell										
	C	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	C	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
462000	Addison										
	B	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			96.00	96.00			
	B	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					88.16		
462200	Avoca										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.90	2013	yes
462400	Bath										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.39	1.03	47.00	47.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.59	1.03			47.48		
462600	Bradford										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.56	0.85	75.00	75.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	12.08	1.06			73.40		
462800	Cameron										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					90.68	2014	yes
463000	Campbell										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.64	1.06	3.02	3.02			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	15.96	1.06			2.92		
463200	Canisteo										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
463400	Caton										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C102
12/18/2012

County of Steuben

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
463600	Cohocton										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.12		
463800	Corning										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
464000	Dansville										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
464200	Erwin										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
464400	Fremont										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
464600	Greenwood										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
464800	Hartsville										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
465000	Hornby										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					91.66	2013	yes
465200	Hornellsville										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
465400	Howard										
	A	2009	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	20.37	1.03	52.50	52.50			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	22.68	1.08			51.93		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C103
12/18/2012

County of Steuben

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
465600	Jasper										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.74	0.96	4.54	4.54			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.18	1.09			4.21		
465800	Lindley										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	60.08	1.07	2.89	4.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	51.24	1.33			3.00		
466000	Prattsburgh										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
466200	Pulteney										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
466400	Rathbone										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					87.71		
466600	Thurston										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	32.00	0.96	3.76	5.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	24.53	1.08			4.21		
466800	Troupsburg										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	30.57	1.00	49.50	49.50			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	35.24	1.03			50.56		
467000	Tuscarora										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	39.16	0.91	3.85	3.85			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	30.03	1.15			3.81		
467200	Urbana										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
467400	Wayland										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C104
12/18/2012

County of Steuben

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
467600	Wayne										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
467800	West Union										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.35	0.91	53.00	53.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.03	1.09			45.51		
468000	Wheeler										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
468200	Woodhull										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					94.54	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C105
12/18/2012

County of Suffolk

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
472000	Babylon										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.06	1.03	1.21	1.21			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.61	1.05			1.13		
472200	Brookhaven										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.28	1.07	0.91	0.91			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.82	1.06			0.90		
472400	East Hampton										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	26.32	1.13	0.80	0.80			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	26.86	1.15			0.76		
472600	Huntington										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.24	0.51	0.90	0.90			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.33	1.03			0.77		
472800	Islip										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.92	1.16	12.90	12.90			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	25.08	1.11			12.38		
473000	Riverhead										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.42	1.04	15.27	15.27			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.01	1.04			14.02		
473200	Shelter Island										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
473400	Smithtown										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.78	1.01	1.37	1.37			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.63	1.01			1.28		
473600	Southampton										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
473800	Southold										
	C	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.64	1.06	1.15	1.15			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.06	1.08			1.11		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C106
12/18/2012

County of Sullivan

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
482000	Bethel										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	35.59	1.01	62.00	62.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	31.63	1.16			62.41		
482200	Callicoon										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	28.88	1.04	62.25	62.25			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	26.38	1.12			61.09		
482400	Cochecton										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	19.42	1.01	74.00	74.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	19.81	1.03			72.51		
482600	Delaware										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	18.07	1.05	65.00	65.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	19.21	1.02			64.96		
482800	Fallsburgh										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	34.01	1.17	61.00	61.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	33.96	1.17			60.83		
483000	Forestburgh										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	35.69	1.06	9.55	9.55			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	23.36	1.00			9.60		
483200	Fremont										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	23.94	1.13	72.00	72.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	22.81	1.06			70.19		
483400	Highland										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			91.00	91.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.18		
483600	Liberty										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.00	1.11	76.25	85.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	25.33	1.07			80.64		
483800	Lumberland										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					108.36	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C107
12/18/2012

County of Sullivan

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
484000	Mamakating										
	B	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.25	1.02	60.90	60.90			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.55	1.01			59.02		
484200	Neversink										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	29.87	0.57	3.77	3.77			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	28.48	1.16			2.21		
484400	Rockland										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	25.86	1.00	65.00	65.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	24.20	1.09			60.87		
484600	Thompson										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.15	1.20	79.39	84.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.52	1.10			93.50		
484800	Tusten										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	19.60	1.12	59.00	59.00			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	19.93	1.06			57.52		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C108
12/18/2012

County of Tioga

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
492000	Barton										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			95.00	95.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					92.67	2014	yes
492200	Berkshire										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.70	2014	yes
492400	Candor										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
492600	Newark Valley										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.30	0.99	66.50	66.50			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.94	1.02			68.81		
492800	Nichols										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.58	1.07	28.00	28.00			
	A	2007	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.68	1.11			24.29		
493000	Owego										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.13	0.99	80.00	80.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.67	1.05			72.77		
493200	Richford										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					104.53	2013	yes
493400	Spencer										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.03	2015	yes
493600	Tioga										
	A	2007	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	17.74	1.03	7.50	7.50			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	16.61	1.02			7.22		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C109
12/18/2012

County of Tompkins

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
509901	Tompkins County Assessing Unit										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C110
12/18/2012

County of Ulster

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
510800	Kingston										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
512000	Denning										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.92	1.04	18.00	18.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	18.50	1.07			16.00		
512200	Esopus										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
512400	Gardiner										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.19	0.99	89.00	89.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.02	1.00			87.57		
512600	Hardenburgh										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.14	1.05	63.75	63.75			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	12.79	0.99			63.64		
512800	Hurley										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.98	0.77	111.54	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.78	1.02			100.93		
513000	Kingston										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	8.59	0.97	90.10	90.10			
	B	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	7.23	1.02			79.91		
513200	Lloyd										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
513400	Marbletown										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
513600	Marlborough										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.41	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C111
12/18/2012

County of Ulster

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
513800	New Paltz										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
514000	Olive										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					103.90		
514200	Plattekill										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.36	2015	yes
514400	Rochester										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.70	2015	yes
514600	Rosendale										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
514800	Saugerties										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
515000	Shandaken										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.28	0.81	24.00	24.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.87	1.05			18.74		
515200	Shawangunk										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.95	1.01	20.87	20.87			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.74	1.01			20.01		
515400	Ulster										
	C	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.27	1.01	78.14	78.14			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.19	1.01			73.80		
515600	Wawarsing										
	B	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.29	0.59	1.70	1.70			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	26.44	1.08			1.37		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C112
12/18/2012

County of Ulster

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
515800	Woodstock										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.15	1.02	100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.94	1.02			98.35		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C113
12/18/2012

County of Warren

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
520500	Glens Falls										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.64	1.00	82.00	82.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.68	1.04			78.31		
522000	Bolton										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
522200	Lake George										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
522400	Chester										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.28		
522600	Hague										
	A	2008	All Property	CAMA/Appraisals	31.20	0.89	79.20	79.20			
	A	2011	Residential	CAMA	22.72	0.95			81.78		
522800	Horicon										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.28		
523000	Johnsburg										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	38.46	1.05	1.90	1.90			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	28.46	1.12			1.71		
523200	Lake Luzerne										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.64	1.13	90.50	90.50			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.01	1.04			91.53		
523400	Queensbury										
	C	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.32	1.01	80.00	80.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.07	1.00			82.13		
523600	Stony Creek										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.84	1.04	1.01	1.01			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	23.83	1.04			1.03		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C114
12/18/2012

County of Warren

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
523800	Thurman										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.18	1.04	95.00	95.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	13.42	1.06			92.43		
524000	Warrensburg										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					101.60		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C115
12/18/2012

County of Washington

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
532000	Argyle										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2016	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2016	yes
532200	Cambridge										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	12.42	1.03	104.00	104.00		2013	
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.30	1.03			104.26	2013	
532400	Dresden										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.03	0.99	46.00	46.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	16.79	1.07			46.30		
532600	Easton										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	25.80	0.74	2.07	1.95			
	A	2009	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.66	1.06			2.00		
532800	Fort Ann										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
533000	Fort Edward										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.88	1.00	85.00	85.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.05	1.04			82.27		
533200	Granville										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
533400	Greenwich										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
533600	Hampton										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
533800	Hartford										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C116
12/18/2012

County of Washington

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
534000	Hebron										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
534200	Jackson										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	19.67	0.99	32.00	32.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	21.05	1.03			32.78		
534400	Kingsbury										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
534600	Putnam										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.03	0.99	46.00	46.00		2013	
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	16.79	1.07			46.30	2013	
534800	Salem										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	26.80	1.12	54.00	54.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	20.46	1.09			54.82		
535000	White Creek										
	A	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.31	1.04	62.00	62.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	22.26	1.08			58.42		
535200	Whitehall										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C117
12/18/2012

County of Wayne

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
542000	Arcadia										
	B	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	11.26	1.05	100.00	100.00			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.29	1.03			99.33		
542200	Butler										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.75	2013	yes
542400	Galen										
	A	2009	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2009	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
542600	Huron										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
542800	Lyons										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
543000	Macedon										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
543200	Marion										
	B	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00			
	B	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.15		
543400	Ontario										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
543600	Palmyra										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			97.00	97.00		2013	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.64	2013	yes
543800	Rose										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C118
12/18/2012

County of Wayne

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
544000	Savannah										
	A	2010	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.87	1.00	89.00	89.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Sales/Appraisals	12.01	1.04			84.05		
544200	Sodus										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.23	2014	yes
544400	Walworth										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
544600	Williamson										
	B	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
544800	Wolcott										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C119
12/18/2012

County of Westchester

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
550800	Mt Vernon										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	27.64	0.99	3.59	3.59			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	25.66	1.09			2.88		
551000	New Rochelle										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	14.43	1.04	2.96	2.96			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.43	1.03			2.67		
551200	Peekskill										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.07	1.04	3.85	3.85			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.83	1.06			3.48		
551400	Rye										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.87	1.09	2.06	2.06			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.10	1.03			1.82		
551700	White Plains										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	24.18	0.90	3.70	3.70			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.18	1.01			2.67		
551800	Yonkers										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.50	1.04	3.21	3.35			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	12.20	1.03			2.68		
552000	Bedford										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.13	1.03	9.76	9.76			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.37	1.06			9.11		
552200	Cortlandt										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	13.56	1.01	1.86	1.86			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.97	1.01			1.74		
552400	Eastchester										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.61	1.10	1.48	1.48			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	14.22	1.07			1.33		
552600	Greenburgh										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	22.87	1.06	3.36	3.36			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.55	1.04			2.80		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C120
12/18/2012

County of Westchester

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
552800	Harrison										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	18.48	1.07	1.74	1.74			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	17.55	1.05			1.63		
553000	Lewisboro										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	20.31	1.03	10.62	10.62			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	20.75	1.04			10.11		
553200	Mamaroneck										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.55	1.09	1.74	1.74		2013	
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.85	1.06			1.59	2013	
553400	Mount Pleasant										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	9.98	1.02	1.53	1.53			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.07	1.02			1.52		
553600	New Castle										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	6.24	1.00	20.14	20.14			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	5.31	1.00			19.08		
553800	North Castle										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	10.31	1.01	2.24	2.24			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.73	1.01			2.08		
554000	North Salem										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.68	0.98	10.13	10.13			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	11.12	1.02			9.87		
554200	Ossining										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	15.68	1.05	6.10	6.10			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	13.18	1.04			5.45		
554400	Pelham										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
554600	Pound Ridge										
	B	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	16.43	0.98	16.80	16.80			
	B	2011	Residential	Sales Only	10.26	1.03			16.40		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C121
12/18/2012

County of Westchester

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
554800	Rye										
	C	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
555000	Scarsdale										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	8.50	1.04	1.77	1.77		2014	
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	8.15	1.04			1.67	2014	
555200	Somers										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	7.30	1.00	13.00	13.00			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	7.45	1.00			12.71		
555400	Yorktown										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	8.58	1.03	2.66	2.66			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.20	1.01			2.49		
555600	Mount Kisco										
	C	2008	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	17.15	1.01	19.45	19.45			
	C	2011	Residential	Sales Only	9.39	1.01			15.09		

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C122
12/18/2012

County of Wyoming

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
562000	Arcade										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			96.00	96.00			
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					93.89		
562200	Attica										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.13	2014	yes
562400	Bennington										
	A	2007	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	23.49	0.97	49.50	49.50			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	16.57	1.05			50.66		
562600	Castile										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
562800	Covington										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
563000	Eagle										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.97	2014	yes
563200	Gainesville										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.97	2014	yes
563400	Genesee Falls										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					97.97	2014	yes
563600	Java										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.08	0.98	90.00	90.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.28	1.05			91.05		
563800	Middlebury										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C123
12/18/2012

County of Wyoming

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
564000	Orangeville										
	A	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
564200	Perry										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					96.78	2014	yes
564400	Pike										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00		
564600	Sheldon										
	A	2009	All Property	Sales/Appraisals	21.08	0.98	90.00	90.00			
	A	2011	Residential	Sales Only	18.28	1.05			91.05		
564800	Warsaw										
	B	2010	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	B	2010	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2014	yes
565000	Wethersfield										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2014	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					95.58	2014	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C124
12/18/2012

County of Yates

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
572000	Barrington										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
572200	Benton										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
572400	Italy										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
572600	Jerusalem										
	A	2008	All Property	Review of Reassessment			98.00	98.00			
	A	2008	Residential	Review of Reassessment					98.46		
572800	Middlesex										
	A	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
573000	Milo										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes
573200	Potter										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					99.13	2015	yes
573400	Starkey										
	B	2012	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2013	yes
	B	2012	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2013	yes
573600	Torrey										
	A	2011	All Property	Review of Reassessment			100.00	100.00		2015	yes
	A	2011	Residential	Review of Reassessment					100.00	2015	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.

**New York State Board Of Real Property Tax Services
2012 Market Value Survey: Coefficient of Dispersion and Price Related Differential**

Page C125
12/18/2012

New York City

Municipal Code	Municipal Name/ Size Category *	Roll Year Evaluated For COD/PRD	Property Type	Data/Estimation Type **	COD	PRD	2012 State Eq. Rate	2012 Locally Stated Assmnt. Ratio ***	2012 Res. Class Ratio ***	Year of Subsequent Reassessment Activity ****	Cyclical Reassessment Plan Participant *****
650000	New York City										
	C	2012	1	Review of Reassessment			4.44	6.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	2	Review of Reassessment			33.60	45.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	3	Review of Reassessment			45.00	45.00		2013	yes
	C	2012	4	Review of Reassessment			38.94	45.00		2013	yes

* Population Per Square Mile: A = 1-100 Persons; B = 101-400 Persons; C = 401 Or More Persons. Desired Max All-Property COD by Population: A - 20.00, B - 17.00, C - 15.00.

** CAMA = Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal.

*** If available.

**** Data as of 12/5/2012 - Indicates Reassessment Activity Is Planned Subsequent To The Roll Year Evaluated (Through 2016).

***** Data as of 12/5/2012 - 2012 Through 2016 Rolls Considered.



For more information concerning the data provided in this publication,
please contact:

New York State Department of Taxation and Finance

Office of Tax Policy Analysis

W.A. Harriman State Campus Office

Albany, New York 12227

Phone: (518) 530-4520

Web Site: www.tax.ny.gov